

ITx2016

Is your IT project a Black Swan?

Nicolás Erdödy
CEO & Founder, Open Parallel Ltd

ITx2016
Wellington, New Zealand
12 July 2016

Audience?

About

- **Open Parallel** – SKA since 2011 (self-funded)
- Square Kilometre Array - 10 countries
- 650m euro (SKA1)
- Design 2013-17
- Construction 2018-23
- SKA2 – **6bn euro** (2022-2030?)
- 50 years life-cycle
- 100m euro / year OPEX
- Largest supercomputer in history. 150 Tb/s data
- Exascale computing
- **Multicore World 2017**
- Catalyst – OSS experts
- NZRise / NZOSS / MIITP
- AANZ / NZVCA
- Founded 1st venture in 1980
- M Entr (Otago) / Maths - Engineering (Uruguay)

Caveats

- Black Swan -new “hobby”
- Context: OP's participation at the SKA project
- **Reading is not research**
- Keen to hear your particular experience(s)
- Why not discuss as a community?
- Differentiate between **facts and factoids** (i.e. IBM's five computers quote)
- How do you collect data (i.e. surveys) impact in research results and papers
- English is my 4th language...

What is a “Black Swan Event”?

***“A Black Swan is an event,
positive or negative,
that is deemed improbable
yet causes massive
consequences”***

Nassim Nicholas Taleb

“Why your IT project may be riskier than you think” (HBR.org – Sept 2011)

- Case study: Levi Strauss (2003-08)
- Consolidate IT in 110 countries
- Migrate to a single SAP system – hired Deloitte
- Small risks (apparently): \$5m budget
- Enter Walmart: requests LS system interfaces with its supply chain management system
- By 2008 LS took \$192.5m against earnings to compensate the botched project. **CIO resigned**
- \$5m --> \$200m = Black Swan

Oh my gosh!

- **Migrate *everything* to SAP.** Sorry, QUACK.
- And of course, when you use SAP, you have to customise it, but they keep changing SAP
- You have to keep refitting your customisations to a moving target and **eventually have to redo the whole thing.** QUACK QUACK.
- **To the rest of Levi Strauss** -the people who make and ship the jeans, this was a black swan. They didn't know what was going on.
- **To the people running the project**, this was a duck. Quack a lot, put droppings all over the place, classic duck.

“IT black swan management”

Back to HBR's article. They propose:

- “Stress test: can your company absorb that its biggest tech project goes over budget by 400%?”
- “What if only 25% to 50% of the projected benefits are realised?”
- “What if 15% of medium-sized projects (the ones that executives overlook) exceed cost estimates by 200%?”
- **“Break down big projects into ones of limited size, complexity and duration”**. Every additional year increases risk by 4.2% (how did they find this??)

Modular projects have another advantage: **are more resilient to budget cuts.**

“I'm managing a failing project”

- How do you actually measure success?
 - “we did it within time and budget”
 - how does reflect into business outcomes?
- There is nothing unpredictable about the failure of large IT systems.
- Failure, massive cost overruns, and massive schedule overruns are the norm.
- If you hear that “this project has unique characteristics” then it has 3x higher propensity of turning into a -so called, Black Swan (*)

The “N” word

- **Novopay** doesn't look even remotely like a Black Swan.
- There was a clear set of project management guidelines developed after the INCIS fiasco, pretty much all of which were ignored.

Example:

- Party 1: the responsible government body.
- Party 2: Talent²
- Party 3: an independent company given the job of QA
- The contract between parties 1 and 3 was not an "until completion of work" contract but a fixed term contract.
- The contract expired. Party 3, not getting any more money, stopped doing quality assurance and stopped sending Party 1 reports.
- Party 1 not only failed to foresee the need to review and renew the contract, **THEY FAILED TO NOTICE** that they weren't getting any more reports, and just assumed "no news is good news."
- **That's not a Black Swan.** (Thanks to R O'K -University of Otago, for the content)

Some common themes:

- Assume that a single giant system that does everything is a good idea
- Choose from a narrow range of suppliers (recommended to you by a man your brother-in-law met down at the pub)
- Rush the requirements
- Little serious consideration given to risks or risk management
- Never on any account do a pilot study
- Skimp the regular reporting and reviews

“Police pressure PWC over IT blowout” (*)

- “Delay in setting up NZ's Police HR Mgmt and payroll system is costing \$2m a month”
- “Planned for April 2016 and to cost \$56m”
- “Delayed till Sept 2016 and will cost \$64m”
- “Minister Collins said it was not clear who would pay the extra \$2m per month”
- “PWC declined to comment”

(*) *Source: RadioNZ – 24/5/2016 (radionz.co.nz)*

**Let's put all this in
(the NZ Govt's) perspective...**

NZ's Major Projects Portfolio (from NZ Treasury)

- 41 Projects: **24 ICT**, 9 Defence, 8 Construction
- **\$18.5bn** (Major Projects) // \$57.6bn (Other Govt investment projects).
- Major projects are 22% of total NZ Govt Project Portfolio
- **\$5.2bn ICT** / \$4.9bn Defence / \$8.4bn Construction
- **HRMIS Project – Police / Whole of life cost \$56.20m // 1.08% ICT**
- Actual HRMIS project expenditure \$18.84m (~0.33% ICT budget...).
- NZ Treasury: **6 “Red Dots”** (project in Danger: needs “re-planning”)
- “Original project delivery date of April 2016 **is now unachievable**”
- “**Re-planning is underway** to determine a revised implementation date, costing and help select the best way forward.”
- “An **Independent Quality Assurance Review** on the draft proposed plan has been completed and recommendations are being actioned.”

Source: *Major Projects Performance Report (July – October 2015)*

However...

Research shows that “competition between mega-projects and their sponsors creates political and organizational pressures that leads to:

- consistent overestimating of project benefits and
- underestimating of project costs”.
- “The best mega-projects do not get implemented, but rather the ones that look best on paper.”
- Source: Bent Flyvbjerg - Wikipedia

So...what can you (IT PM) do???

Credit: <http://dilbert.com/strip/2010-03-04>



Remember MMM?

- “In most projects, **the first system is barely usable**. It may be too slow, too big, awkward to use, or all three”
- **You need to start again** and address these problems.
- Should you plan to build a “**throwaway pilot system**” or would you promise to deliver it to customers?
- Delivering the throwaway **buys time**, at the cost of
 - agony for the user
 - distraction for the builders while redesign
 - bad reputation for the product

Conclusion:

“plan to throw one away; you will, anyhow”

Scheduling a software task

As a rule of thumb:

- 1/3 planning
- 1/6 coding
- 1/4 component test and early system test
- 1/4 system test, all components in hand
- Source: MMM, pg20 (1975 edition?)

How do you explain this to your project sponsor?

“Bike-shedding”

- Technical disputes over minor, marginal issues conducted while serious ones are being overlooked.
- The implied image is of people arguing for hours (usually in a board decision-making meeting) over what color to paint the bicycle shed or the material of its roof
- Meanwhile the nuclear plant design (or IT project scope / plan / budget /...) is approved in 10 minutes. Part of “Parkinson's Law of Triviality”.
- Option: Van Halen's “no brown M&M's clause”
- If any brown M&M’s were found backstage, **the band could cancel the entire concert at the full expense of the promoter.** Try it -as your “canary in the coal mine” technique.

Do try Van Halen's “no brown M&M clause”

Image Credit: <http://bit.ly/29CjUOe>



Suggestions:

- Consider your project as a high-tech **start up**
- If it goes wrong, you lose your house. Period.
- Find an “**entrepreneurial Project Manager**”
- Have you done a “VC kind of” due diligence?
- Have you heard of a **MVP**?
- Modularity -and use Open Source Software!
- Google didn't start with a \$200m budget
- **First 90 days define start-up's DNA** -have you planned in detail your project's kick-off meeting?
- Peter Thiel: “Your employee #20 join your start up for very different reasons than the founders”

New title: “Is your IT project a Black Swan?”

- “No, it's a flock of monstrous ducks devastating the land, with no swans among them...” (R O'K)
- **New abstract**
- One in six IT projects is a “cluster f*ck”, with a cost overrun of 200%, on average
- Developers struggle to combine different badly designed software systems in the poorly assessed (mostly guessed) budget and project timelines forced upon them.
- 60% of managers report major conflicts between their priorities and line management priorities because performance assessment is not inline with project uncertainties and business vague strategy. (Thanks R O'B)

We witness
fundamental faults
with management practise
of IT projects, corporate budgeting
and performance criteria.

Not Black Swan Events.

Discussion?

Thank you

Nicolás Erdödy
CEO, Open Parallel

@Nicolas_Erdody

ITx2016

Wellington, New Zealand, 12 July