

Analysis of Public Submissions

Draft Witjira Waru Pulka (Fire) Management Strategy

Invitation to contribute

How to make an effective submission

- State the plan/strategy to which you are referring, as several may be on public exhibition.
- Make your text concise and clear.
- Refer to the page, section number, paragraph and/or objective or strategy upon which you are commenting.
- If you disagree with an objective or strategy, state your reasons and say what you would prefer in its place, and why.
- If you are commenting on the accuracy of background information, provide references for your information sources.
- It is also important to say with which sections of the strategy you agree

The Draft Witjira Waru Pulka (Fire) Management Strategy was released for public consultation from 20 November 2017 to 28 February 2018. x submissions on the draft strategy were received. These are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1 – Summary of submissions

Submission Number	Name
1	Govt
2	Individual
3	
4	NGO
5	Individual
6	Govt
7	eNGO

All submissions on draft fire management strategy are carefully reviewed against the following criteria.

The draft fire management strategy will be amended if the point

1. provided additional information of direct relevance to management;
2. indicated or clarified a change in Government legislation, management commitment or policy;
3. proposed strategies that would better achieve or assist with management objectives;
4. prompted a re-consideration of the objective and/or strategy and results in an alternative objective and/or strategy for the park;
5. was an alternate viewpoint received on the topic and is considered a better option than that proposed in the draft strategy; or
6. indicated omissions, inaccuracies or a lack of clarity.

The draft fire management strategy will not be amended if the point

7. addressed issues beyond the scope of the strategy;
8. was already in the strategy or will be considered during the development of a subordinate strategy;
9. offered an open statement, or no change was sought;
10. clearly supported the draft proposals;
11. was an alternate viewpoint received on the topic but the recommendation of the draft strategy was still considered the best option;
12. was based on incorrect information;
13. contributed options that are not possible (generally due to some aspect of existing legislation or Government policy); or
14. involved details that are not appropriate or necessary for inclusion in a document aimed at providing management direction over the long term.

Feedback meeting criteria 1-6 (above), result in an alteration to the draft fire management strategy that have been released for consultation. Feedback meeting criteria 7-14 do not result in an alteration.

A summary of all feedback received and any changes arising is provided in Table 2.



Table 2 – Summary of feedback

Comment No.	Comment	Sub No	Strategy Amended	Proposed Response	Criteria

