

# Consultation Summary

Kangatilla (Park 4) Shared Use Walking and Cycling Paths  
27 February – 22 March 2015

Your Say  
Adelaide

## Purpose summary

The purpose of this report is to:

- Provide a summary of the consultation responses received, and
- Inform the Community of the progress made in delivering the Kangatilla (Park 4) shared use walking and cycling project between Main North Road and Lefevre Road, which forms part of Council's walking and cycling network.

## Consultation summary

Public consultation on the Kangatilla (Park 4) shared use walking and cycling project was undertaken from 27 February to 22 March 2015. The consultation pack and plans presented to the community.

The consultation included:

- 542 letters sent to property owners/occupiers directly abutting the affected sections of O'Connell Street and Barton Terrace East, and also along the length of Margaret Street (Barton Terrace East to Brougham Place);
- The use of Adelaide City Council's Your Say Adelaide web page (<http://yoursay.adelaidecitycouncil.com/shared-walking-cycling-path>) to gain feedback from the broader community;
- Key stakeholders, including precinct and resident groups, being emailed project information and a link to the Your Say Adelaide web page for further details; and
- Corflute signs to advise place-users about the consultation process.

The Your Say Adelaide website attracted a reasonably high level of interest recording:

- 447 unique visitors looking at 2,270 pages (the total for both Park 4 and Parks 18-19-20 shared use walking and cycling paths consultations undertaken concurrently), which indicates that visitors to the website explored through the information (consultation pack and plans) provided.
- 170 document downloads (the total for both Park 4 and Parks 18-19-20 shared use walking and cycling paths consultations undertaken concurrently)
- 18 on-line surveys for the Kangatilla (Park 4) shared use walking and cycling project.

The majority of the survey respondents indicated they participate in City life, and identified themselves as a shopper/visitor, worker and/or resident. A lesser number responded as a business owner, student or other.

Four formal feedback forms from the consultation packs were received and two letters/emails were also received. A late response was received from the Bicycle Institute of South Australia, showing support for the project.

Of the 25 responses received, 9 were residents of Adelaide (4) and North Adelaide (5), and 7 from surrounding suburbs. No information was provided for the other 9 respondents.

## Level of Support for Project

Respondents showed a high level of support for the project and is summarised in the table below. In summary, of the 25 respondents, 21 (84%) supported the project.

# Consultation Summary

Kangatilla (Park 4) Shared Use Walking and Cycling Paths  
27 February – 22 March 2015

Your Say  
Adelaide

QUESTIONS ASKED	RESPONSES												
<b>Q1. Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? (more than one response was possible)</b>	<table><tbody><tr><td>a) Walk/run</td><td>2 respondents</td></tr><tr><td>b) Ride a bike</td><td>11 respondents</td></tr><tr><td>c) Leisure/recreation</td><td>0 respondents</td></tr><tr><td>d) Commuting</td><td>5 respondents</td></tr><tr><td>e) Would not use it</td><td>3 respondents</td></tr><tr><td>f) Other</td><td>2 respondents</td></tr></tbody></table>	a) Walk/run	2 respondents	b) Ride a bike	11 respondents	c) Leisure/recreation	0 respondents	d) Commuting	5 respondents	e) Would not use it	3 respondents	f) Other	2 respondents
a) Walk/run	2 respondents												
b) Ride a bike	11 respondents												
c) Leisure/recreation	0 respondents												
d) Commuting	5 respondents												
e) Would not use it	3 respondents												
f) Other	2 respondents												
<b>Q2. Do you support the construction of the project?</b>	Yes: 21 (84%) No: 4 (17%)												

When asked about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection, respondents provided no specific comments about not upgrading the existing pedestrian connection, but provided numerous comments of support. A specific question also sought feedback on the proposed tree and vegetation removal/pruning. The general feedback was that where tree removal and pruning is justified it is supported by the community. All verbatim comments are provided in **Appendix A**.

A question was raised by a respondent regarding compliance with the Park Lands Community Land Management Plans (CLMPs) and the consultation process undertaken. These concerns were also raised by the same person at a deputation held at the 27 January 2015 Council meeting and were addressed in a Report presented to Council on 10 February 2015 (<http://www.adelaidecitycouncil.com/your-council/meetings/agendas-minutes/>).

## Progress

All submissions have been reviewed and are summarised in this Consultation Summary Report.

The feedback received has assisted in determining whether any changes to the Shared Path design are required. Based on the feedback received no changes are proposed.

A report to Council was prepared in May 2015 seeking endorsement to construct the path as per the final design. At the 12 May 2015 Council meeting, Council endorsed the construction of the project, subject to the removal of the kerbed ramp opposite Margaret Street north. This was due to Councillor concerns that the inclusion of the pedestrian ramps would result in an increase in activity in Margaret Street.

Construction is expected to commence mid-2015, all affected stakeholders will be informed prior to construction works commencing.

## Further Information

For further information on the project please contact Daniel Keller (Transport Planner).

Telephone: 8203 7834

Email: [d.keller@adelaidecitycouncil.com](mailto:d.keller@adelaidecitycouncil.com)

## Appendices

Appendix A – Verbatim Comments

# Consultation Summary

Kangatilla (Park 4) Shared Use Walking and Cycling Paths  
27 February – 22 March 2015

Your Say  
Adelaide

## Appendix A – verbatim comments (Name and contact details have been supplied)

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Ride a bike

2) Do you support the construction of the project? Yes

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection? the more "shared paths" there are means more direct and easier routes for people to get around safely, encouraging more people to travel by foot or bike.

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? the more direct we can make the routes, the more they will induce people to leave the car behind and commute by foot or bicycle

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? The pathways need to be clear of obstacles but the more trees we can preserve the better.

7) Any other comments regarding this project: -

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Commuting

2) Do you support the construction of the project? Yes

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection? Making pedestrians feel safer is a great idea! Pedestrian friendly streets are good for families, the elderly and everybody! Sections of cities that prioritise pedestrians over cars make better communities, safer streets and increased house prices to boot! Win win!

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? Recent cyclist deaths reported in the media highlight the need for better, safer bicycling infrastructure. Families deserve at least one safe passage into and through the city. How can we expect to encourage women, children and the elderly to participate in bicycling if we don't provide real protection for them. The days of painted bike paths leading through the door zone and squashed between parked cars and the heavy traffic have to come to a end. It time to start building streets that accommodate alternative forms of transport and not just cars.

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? If trees are being planted elsewhere the I think it is justified to remove some trees if it is going to activate that area of parklands.

7) Any other comments regarding this project: -In full support of this project! Walking and riding bikes should be encouraged and people other than middle aged men in lycra deserve the opportunity to feel safe whilst riding into and around our city.

# Consultation Summary

Kangatilla (Park 4) Shared Use Walking and Cycling Paths  
27 February – 22 March 2015

Your Say  
Adelaide

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Other

2) Do you support the construction of the project? Yes

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection? Please make this a proper pedestrian crossing. This is used extensively by pedestrians, commuters, school kids and dog walkers.

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? So long as the other paths remain in place and the park remains a dog off lease area I am happy with these changes.

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? -

7) Any other comments regarding this project: -

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Would not use it

2) Do you support the construction of the project? No

3) Comments regarding your answer: Pedestrians and cyclists are immiscible. I walk through the parklands on an almost daily basis, for safety I choose to walk off pathways shared by cyclists.

Walking with one's back to oncoming cyclists travelling at a speed considerably greater than oneself is surely idiotic. Cyclists are almost always wanting to travel at maximum speed with scant regard for pedestrians. Cyclists almost always assume that it is their right to travel at maximum speed and any pedestrian sharing their path is a major nuisance to them. There is neither room at times for passing a pedestrian nor safe reduction in speed by the cyclist when doing so. It is only a matter of time before someone who is seriously injured sues the council for allowing two such incompatible modes of transport to coexist on one route travelling in the same direction. I suggest adopting the Berlin model where cyclists have a dedicated track. This is a narrow track on the pavement but separated from the pedestrian passage by a lawned strip. The cyclist travels in the same direction as the motor vehicles but is on a track separate from both the pedestrians and the motor vehicles, thus ensuring complete safety for all users. It is interesting to note that we did have this system some time ago along the complete length of Anzac Highway. It would be wonderful to think that the council adopted a plan and policy that was safe and respectful to all users in this matter.

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection?

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment?

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning?

7) Any other comments regarding this project: -

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Ride a bike

2) Do you support the construction of the project? Yes

# Consultation Summary

Kangatilla (Park 4) Shared Use Walking and Cycling Paths

27 February – 22 March 2015

Your Say  
Adelaide

## 3) Comments regarding your answer: -

**4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection?** I can't find any information on it apart from the map. If it is planned to incorporate it into an extension of the Frome street bikeway it would form an essential north south corridor for cyclists and I would support it. Surely a safe, separated, north south corridor for cyclists is not too much to ask for.

**5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment?** The alignment makes some sense given existing paths, although the logical option would be extend the Frome street bikeway through Margaret Street. Commuting cyclists would be better served by separated infrastructure enabling them to travel at a reasonable speed rather than weaving throughout the parklands whilst dodging angry pedestrians.

## 6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? -

**7) Any other comments regarding this project:** Just extend the Frome street bikeway and wear the inevitable bogan complaints please. If it is safe and separated, cyclists will use it, businesses will benefit from calmer traffic conditions, and motorists will be largely unaffected. This is pretty much the cycling equivalent of the half baked southern expressway that drivers had to put up with for so many years. How good is it to be able to drive BOTH ways on the expressway now?? How good would it be for my Mum to be able to ride her super elliot across the city, on a separated bike path rather than mixing it up with the ute-wielding antics of angry tradies? I guarantee that her and many others would do so, instead of driving, if the infrastructure was in place.

## 1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Ride a bike

## 2) Do you support the construction of the project? Yes

## 3) Comments regarding your answer: -

**4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection?** I support it. Margaret Street crosses what are relatively quiet streets. It could be the opportunity to test some Dutch-style pedestrian priority treatments (such as crossings at pathway level acting as a small speedbump for cars) so that pedestrians have an unbroken run.

**5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment?** No. It makes sense though. As with the pedestrian route, it requires a lot of stopping and waiting at intersections. Perhaps one day after we are all long gone, our bicycle riding descendents might benefit from wide, well-lit underpasses at major intersections :-)

**6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning?** No. If it is necessary to improve sight lines and the health of the trees, do it.

**7) Any other comments regarding this project:** - This is an excellent addition to Adelaide's slowly expanding cycle routes. A safe path from Prospect Road to and through the parklands is sorely needed. The proposed path connecting Prospect Road with the path along Levere Terrace will fill a gap.

I very much look forward to the extension of the Frome Street Bikeway as far as Kingston Terrace. At the same time it is a pity that the Margaret Street part of the link is on hold because of feedback - whatever that might be.

I also note from the map that a separate parklands trail is due for construction along Mann Terrace. I see from my morning commute that work has already begun. I welcome that. It is actually a well used route and will assist commuters on bicycles riding from and through Medindie to the city. Signage on Mann Street such as that found on the Gawler Greenway would assist in bringing the route to the attention of motorists.

# Consultation Summary

Kangatilla (Park 4) Shared Use Walking and Cycling Paths

27 February – 22 March 2015

Your Say  
Adelaide

I appreciate that this is not budgeted but as I say, a significant number of people use Hawker Street in Medindie for their route and then cross Fitzroy Terrace to reach Mann Terrace. Some improvement to the crossing their and a change to the intersection on the northern side to allow easier access to the service street and then Hawker Street would be very welcome.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback.

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Ride a bike

2) Do you support the construction of the project? Yes

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection? -

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? -

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? -

7) Any other comments regarding this project: at 70 years old I am relatively new to cycling and the proposed paths would allow me to extend rides and feel safe as I do not like riding in traffic.

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Commuting

2) Do you support the construction of the project? Yes

3) Comments regarding your answer: - I think that it is a great idea.

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection? -

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? -

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? -

7) Any other comments regarding this project: -

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Commuting

2) Do you support the construction of the project? Yes

3) Comments regarding your answer: I think that it is a great idea.

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection?

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment?

# Consultation Summary

Kangatilla (Park 4) Shared Use Walking and Cycling Paths  
27 February – 22 March 2015

Your Say  
Adelaide

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? I support vegetation removal and pruning where justified.

7) Any other comments regarding this project: Avoid excessive barriers on the path. Signalised crossings should be built as a single phase as 2-phase crossings (where a pedestrian has to wait yet again in the middle of the road) feels both unsafe and inconvenient.

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Ride a bike

2) Do you support the construction of the project? Yes

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection? -

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? -

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? -

7) Any other comments regarding this project: -

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Would not use it

2) Do you support the construction of the project? Yes

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection? -

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? -

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? -

7) Any other comments regarding this project: -

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path?

2) Do you support the construction of the project?

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection?

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment?

# Consultation Summary

Kangatilla (Park 4) Shared Use Walking and Cycling Paths  
27 February – 22 March 2015

Your Say  
Adelaide

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning?

7) Any other comments regarding this project: -

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Commuting

2) Do you support the construction of the project? Yes

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection? Yes. Cyclists are continuing to use Margaret Street in both directions. The new pedestrian connection will formalise what is a rather precarious pathway. Let's do it.

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? Somewhat of a duplication but will tidy up north side of Barton Tce East for pedestrians using it for night time access from parked cars to Cinema and shops.

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? -

7) Any other comments regarding this project: -

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path?

2) Do you support the construction of the project?

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection?

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment?

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning?

7) Any other comments regarding this project: -

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Ride a bike

2) Do you support the construction of the project? No

3) Comments regarding your answer: I dont use that park of the city parklands for commuting see below

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection?

'Pedestrian connection'? ie not for bikes? I think it should be demonstrated (imposed upon?) to the community how the use of active transport reduced traffic congestion, and using back streets is an



# Consultation Summary

Kangatilla (Park 4) Shared Use Walking and Cycling Paths  
27 February – 22 March 2015

Your Say  
Adelaide

effective method to allow ped and bike traffic to commute to the city.

5) **Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment?** Currently that stretch of dirt path is very busy with foot traffic to and from O'Connell St/parking on Barton Tce. I don't think it will be a benefit to have it as a thoroughfare for bikes. it should be through the parklands not along the edge

6) **Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning?** -

7) **Any other comments regarding this project:** -

1) **Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path?** Ride a bike

2) **Do you support the construction of the project?** Yes

3) **Comments regarding your answer:** -

4) **Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection?** -

5) **Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment?** -

6) **Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning?** -

7) **Any other comments regarding this project:** -

1) **Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path?** Ride a bike

2) **Do you support the construction of the project?** Yes

3) **Comments regarding your answer:** -

4) **Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection?** I wouldn't use it.

5) **Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment?** Looks good.

6) **Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning?** -

7) **Any other comments regarding this project:** I'm all for it.

1) **Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path?** Commuting

2) **Do you support the construction of the project?** Yes

3) **Comments regarding your answer:** -

4) **Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection?** It seems like a very good idea. Regarding the presence of a cycling in both directions on a

# Consultation Summary

Kangatilla (Park 4) Shared Use Walking and Cycling Paths

27 February – 22 March 2015

Your Say  
Adelaide

one-way street, any risk to cyclists can be mitigated by the use of physical barriers to separate cars and bicycles (as per the recommendations of the most recent CitizensJury run by the Adelaide City Council). The risk to pedestrians from cyclists is negligible. While many pedestrians seem to consider cyclists to pose a threat, actual accident data shows that Australian pedestrians are more likely to be injured by tripping over than through contact with bicycles ([http://acrs.org.au/wp-content/uploads/Grzebieta-McIntosh-](http://acrs.org.au/wp-content/uploads/Grzebieta-McIntosh-Chong-Pedestrian-Cyclist-Collisions-Issues-and-Risk..pdf)

[Chong-Pedestrian-Cyclist-Collisions-Issues-and-Risk..pdf](http://acrs.org.au/wp-content/uploads/Grzebieta-McIntosh-Chong-Pedestrian-Cyclist-Collisions-Issues-and-Risk..pdf))

**5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? -**

**6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning?** I oppose the removal of old, significant trees. However as this project does not involve the removal of such trees I have no objections. I feel very strongly that any new trees planted in the area should be native species, to encourage the presence of native birds and insects, to create a distinctly Australian feel to the area, and to ensure that the trees used are adapted to the local climate hence reducing water use.

**7) Any other comments regarding this project:** It is well-known in all areas of risk management that protective equipment is the least effective way to protect people from danger. Physical separation is the most effective. Off-road cycling paths are known to provide significant safety improvements for cyclists. By making cycling safer, and creating the sense that cycling is safer, more people will be encouraged to ride. Adelaide City Council should follow the lead of the Northern Territory government by providing a local exemption to Mandatory Helmet Laws, by allowing adults (over 17) to ride without a helmet on off-road paths. This has been the case in the NT for over 20 years, and the NT has a much higher participation rate of commuter cycling, as well as being significantly safer, than the rest of Australia where helmets are mandatory.

**1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path?** Ride a bike

**2) Do you support the construction of the project?** Yes

**3) Comments regarding your answer: -**

**4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection?** The pedestrian connection seems okay. However if cyclists are to use it it does not align with Margaret Street. This creates confusion especially over giving way. Also meaning that more time is spent in the intersection. A separate entry should be provided for cyclists directly aligned with Margaret Street.

**5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? -**

**6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning?** Veg retained where possible, maintain clear visibility to the road for safety at night.

**7) Any other comments regarding this project:** segregated paths for pedestrians and cyclists should be considered, especially along commuter routes. Have different pavement treatment to clearly identify pedestrian and cyclists, (bicycles - bitumen and pedestrian - red paver)

Are provisions made for bicycle crossing at Barton Terrace.

**1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path?** Walk/run

**2) Do you support the construction of the project?** Yes

# Consultation Summary

Kangatilla (Park 4) Shared Use Walking and Cycling Paths  
27 February – 22 March 2015

Your Say  
Adelaide

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection? -

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? -

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? Several dead trees need removal

7) Any other comments regarding this project: Thank goodness Margaret Street is no longer being considered for dual bikeway - bad enough as it is with ever increasing traffic flow! We would like confirmation that the garden in front of our house (Barton Terrace East) and alongside our house (Margaret Street) will not be interfered with

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path?

2) Do you support the construction of the project?

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection?

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment?

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning?

7) Any other comments regarding this project: -

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Walk/run / would not use

2) Do you support the construction of the project? Yes

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection? No - should be quite good. Margaret Street south to be left as is. Street is too narrow for 2 bike lanes, i.e. bikes going north and south as it barely takes a parked car and a car going north only. I have quite often seen near misses with bikes going south instead of only north. Recently a child was knocked over. Le Fevre Terrace has bike lanes being used and would be more direct to meet Frome Biekway. The elderly residents in the garden section between Tynte Street and Archer Street should not have to dodge bikes going both ways down the middle of the nature reserve.

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? -

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? No, but less is always preferable

7) Any other comments regarding this project: -

# Consultation Summary

Kangatilla (Park 4) Shared Use Walking and Cycling Paths  
27 February – 22 March 2015

Your Say  
Adelaide

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Other

2) Do you support the construction of the project? No

3) Comments regarding your answer: - It will only create a bigger parking problem for residents in Barton Terrace East

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection? -

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? Dangerous to older people walking on the paths

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? -

7) Any other comments regarding this project: -

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? Ride a bike

2) Do you support the construction of the project? Yes

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection? -

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? -

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? -

7) Any other comments regarding this project: As a bicycle group ride leader I support any new trails in the Park Lands as it will only mean safer cycling in Adelaide

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path? -

2) Do you support the construction of the project? Yes

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection? -

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment? -

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? -

7) Any other comments regarding this project: I support the proposed additional bike paths – but I can't see any information about existing paths. Or how to provide comment on 'feeder paths' that might be out of your precinct but which still have a massive bearing on whether or not people decide to ride IN to the city in the first place. The new path under the Anzac Highway/Greenhill Rd interchange is great but once you come up on the side of the Parklands fringing the Anzac Highway and as it curls around onto the Western side of West Tce, it is pretty awful – full of bad bumps,

# Consultation Summary

Kangatilla (Park 4) Shared Use Walking and Cycling Paths  
27 February – 22 March 2015

Your Say  
Adelaide

cracks, tree root intrusions etc. It is really quite dangerous at present as hitting these at speed can throw you into the path of an oncoming pedestrian or cyclist. It is especially bad near and alongside the cemetery. I try to cycle to work in the city from Glenelg as often as possible but this is a deterrent...as is the time it takes for the cycle crossings to become Green on West Tce...to allow you to enter the city proper.

1) Please indicate how/why you would use the proposed shared use path?

2) Do you support the construction of the project? No

3) Comments regarding your answer: -

4) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed Margaret Street pedestrian connection? -

5) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed shared use path alignment?

6) Have you got any specific comments about the proposed tree and vegetation removal or pruning? -

7) **Any other comments regarding this project:** -Adelaide City Council should not proceed with the proposed Park 4 Inter-Suburban Transport Transit Corridor (nothing less than a dumbed-down cycling version of the infamous MATS plan) and Park 18,19,20 abuses of the CLMPs, misleadingly termed 'shared use walking and cycling path's.

These proposed projects are a prime example of totally flawed and corrupt consultation processes, being in dereliction of Council's duty to conserve the Adelaide Park Lands and a gross violation of basic rights.

Such ongoing breaches of the Local Government Act 1999 and utter contempt demonstrated for the Adelaide Park Lands requires urgent rectification of Council's community 'engagement' which is obviously compromised and undermined, seemingly geared towards rubber-stamping of predetermined outcomes.

The farce of Adelaide City Council inviting 'engagement from the community' on the Park Lands months, or years, after inappropriate and illegitimate decisions have been made in contravention of the Local Government Act 1999 should not be suffered nor permitted by Elected Members of Council.

The manufacturing of consent by propagandist 'engagement' that asserts a pre-determined and biased Council position is unacceptable, and a tyrannical oppression of the community that is wasteful of community resources.

The option of not proceeding with such egregious wastage of Council resources and exploitation and abuse of Park Lands is clearly a valid option, yet 'engagement' processes seemed to be geared in such a manner as to presuppose that the community is to be subjected to the projects, reducing the process to a tawdry push-polling of overtly biased 'Consultation' packs.

The Public is informed that the project WILL occur, makes unsubstantiated and biased assertions about improving 'amenity' when it clearly intends destruction of remnant native vegetation, is contrary to the Community Land Management Plan and the Master Plan, and proposes to increase hard-surface in areas identified as being 'natural'.

Contrary to the assertions made by Council staff, residents do not need an Unley-Prospect bicycle commuter highway to enjoy the Park Lands – the danger posed by such, and the associated diminution of recreational amenity has apparently not been given sufficient consideration by Council.