

1. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

This document summarise the findings of the three most comprehensive engagement initiatives to date: the two Open Days and the Community Visioning Workshop as at Friday 2 June.

Data from the MySayFreo website and stakeholder meetings will be provided in the Preliminary Engagement Report within the following fortnight.

Section 1 provides an overview whilst Section 2 presents the feedback in greater detail.

Overall, there are several trends in feedback which were raised in all three engagement initiatives. These trends are captured below, categorised into those related to the past, the present, opportunities for the future and the project priorities (the priorities are informed by the Community Visioning Workshop Only).

The Past

- A perception that Beaconsfield in the past was **safer and quieter** than it is today
- It was a **place for families**
- Children commonly **played outdoors** and in the street
- Strong ties to a **semi-rural/pastoral history** with a dairy, orchards, stables and mulberry trees – this made Beaconsfield almost a holiday destination for some Perth residents
- A **close-knit community**
- The number of **family-owned and local businesses has decreased** over time
- **Block sizes were larger**, as large as five or ten acres in some cases

The Present

- Beaconsfield is in a **great location**, close to Freo, South Freo and the beach without being too central
- Being peripheral to Freo and South Freo, however, means that Beaconsfield residents sometimes have the **perception of being in a forgotten suburb** or considered a lower priority
- The area has a **diverse and multicultural population**
- There is a **strong sense of community** reflected in the resilience of the population
- The Beaconsfield community is not one whole community – it is **fragmented and disconnected** and this is seen to be partly due to the planning and design (or lack thereof) of the area
- The area has a **unique topography**, being unusually hilly for Perth and having the Lefroy Road Quarry and ridge
- There is **good access to public transport**
- There are **parks and green spaces** throughout the area, although some of these are disconnected
- **Anti-social behaviour and a lack of safety** associated with damage to public property, drug use and criminal activity are considered issues in some areas, especially the Davis Park Precinct

Opportunities for the future

- The themes of **connection, paths, cycleways and green corridor** arise in many objectives besides “Getting Around”. This reflects the importance of connectivity, walkability, “cycleability” and natural spaces in supporting the other objectives

- A **diversity of housing** tenure types, sizes, designs and levels of affordability that accommodates and reflects the diversity of population
- Improve **sense of safety** in the area
- That all housing should be **relatively affordable** in order to avoid gentrification
- **Public open spaces that allows for a range of uses** such as informal meetings, public performance, are able to be used at all times of the day/night and year and are accessible to all ages (i.e.do not use open space for sporting uses only). *This theme arose in many objectives besides “Streets and Public Open Spaces.”*
- It has been commonly stated that **10% or 11% social housing** is an appropriate level of provision
- Allowing **slight increases in density** of built form, but within clearly defined limits
- Retaining and planting new **trees**
- Common themes of sharing and integration, in that **facilities and spaces etc. should be for all**. This includes the use of facilities at Fremantle College and other public venues being available for community use
- Better access to **commercial spaces**, including the provision of commercial and mixed-use/community hubs within the site – the current TAFE site is commonly stated as a prime opportunity for this to occur
- Better **road and path networks** both north-south and east-west through the site, including the removal of cul-de-sacs
- Accommodate **ageing in place**, housing and services for all age groups
- Retain some **larger-sized blocks** during development
- Design **homes that use energy efficiently** through, for example, passive solar design and use of sustainable materials
- Use **renewable energy** to service the area
- Build upon the strength of sporting and recreational clubs in the area to create a **sporting and recreation hub**
- Improved access to public transport, including a new **light rail route down South Street**
- **Public art** in parks and along pedestrian routes

Priorities

The top 11 group priorities and top 11 individual priorities are shown in the table below:

Priorities have been ranked based upon the following system:

- Priorities have been separated into two subsections below: **group priorities** and **individual priorities**.
- Priorities were categorised by theme. Many of these reflect the seven project objectives where relevant.
- Themed priorities were ranked by the frequency with which that theme was mentioned multiplied by weight.
- Higher priorities were given higher weights as follows:
 - First priorities were given a score of 5, second priorities were given a score of 4, third priorities were given a score of 3, fourth priorities were given a score of 2 and fifth priorities were given a score of 1.

For example, green corridors/links was listed as a first priority by one group (1 x 5 = 5), as a second priority by two group (2 x 4 = 8) and as a third priority by one group (1 x 3 = 3). The overall total score is: 5 + 8 + 3 = 16.

Top ten group priorities	Top ten individual priorities
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Safety/security/ a peaceful community (23) 2) Community connectivity and inclusivity – enhance and encourage (20) 3) Green corridors/links (16) 4) Low density development (12) 5) Universal/wider thoroughfares and dual use paths (11) 6) North-south links, including pedestrian link to Clontarf Hill (11) 7) Useable/productive/people-centric/flexible open spaces – not only sporting fields (11) 8) Learning centre (10) 9) Meeting place (10) 10) Accessibility and integration (9) 11) Childcare / early learning facilities (9) 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Diversity of housing types (46) 2) More provision of; and more functional public open space (44) 3) Paths and cycle ways (including through a green link) (43) 4) Interconnected, shared spaces (41) 5) Connectivity (38) 6) Community hub / meeting places (e.g. on Lefroy Road / at Hilton Bowls Club) (34) 7) Green corridor through the site (e.g. Manning Park – Clontarf Hill – Lefroy Quarry – Booyeembara Park) (31) 8) Safety, especially of pedestrian routes and open spaces (30) 9) Diversity of population/community (29) 10) Public transport, including light rail (25) 11) People-centric / people as the priority (23)

2. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT – DETAILED FINDINGS

2.1. Priorities

Following Activities One, Two and Three, participants were asked to list their top five priorities that THOB Vision and Masterplan should address.

Individuals were then asked to work together in their 14 groups to list the group's agreed top five priorities.

Priorities have been ranked based upon the following system:

- Priorities have been separated into two subsections below: **group priorities** and **individual priorities**.
- Priorities were categorised by theme. Many of these reflect the seven project objectives where relevant.
- Themed priorities were ranked by the frequency with which that theme was mentioned multiplied by weight.
- Higher priorities were given higher weights as follows:
 - First priorities were given a score of 5, second priorities were given a score of 4, third priorities were given a score of 3, fourth priorities were given a score of 2 and fifth priorities were given a score of 1.

For example, green corridors/links was listed as a first priority by one group ($1 \times 5 = 5$), as a second priority by two group ($2 \times 4 = 8$) and as a third priority by one group ($1 \times 3 = 3$). The overall total score is: $5 + 8 + 3 = 16$.

2.1.1. Group Priorities

The following lists reflect the agreed group priorities, listed in order of frequency with which they were noted by each group and weight given to each priority.

Getting Around (78)

- Green corridors/links (16)
- Universal/wider thorough fares and dual use paths (11)
- North-south links, including pedestrian link to Clontarf Hill (11)
- Accessibility and integration (9)
- Cycle and wheelchair pathways (7)
- Convenient public transport through suburbs and rail links (7)
- East-west links (6)
- Integrate pedestrian routes with public transport routes (4)

- Light rail (3)
- Walkability (3)
- No cul-de-sacs (1)

Public Open Space (61)

- Useable/productive/people-centric/flexible open spaces – not only sporting fields (11)
- Trees and shade (8)
- Community gardens (8)
- Sporting and recreation facilities/open spaces (7)
- More/larger public open spaces (7)
- Integrate Bruce Lee oval with Davis Park (5)
- More natural spaces to encourage people to interact with nature (5)
- Exemplar streetscape (5)
- Encourage people to get outdoors (3)
- Verge landscaping (1)
- BMX/skate areas (1)

Shops, Offices and Other Uses (51)

- Learning centre (10)
- Meeting place (10)
- Childcare / early learning facilities (9)
- Future activity hub planning – co-location of services and community hubs (7)
- Community facilities (4)
- Library (4)
- Youth engagement through spaces, art, sculpture, safe space (3)
- Indigenous centre for youth with bush tucker garden etc. (2)
- Aged care facilities (2)

Community Feel (47)

- Community connectivity and inclusivity – enhance and encourage (20)
- People first/people centric (5)
- Successful community (4)
- Communal events (4)
- Activated communities (4)
- The masterplan could address a process of developing a future identity for Beaconsfield (4)
- Unity in diversity (3)
- Community services (3)

Housing for Everyone (44)

- Diversity of housing to cater for a diverse population (23)
- Low density development (12)
- Diversity of support services (5)
- Places that foster and promote the Freo flavour, intergenerational, intercultural (3)
- Large blocks with verges and backyards (1)

Safety (31)

- Safety/security/ a peaceful community (23)
- Address antisocial issues (6)
- No ghettos (2)

Sustainability (27)

- Solar power / solar hubs (8)
- Sustainable and affordable living (6)
- A sustainable community in terms of energy use (6)
- Passive solar housing (4)
- Sustainability in design for environment and sensitivity (3)

Built form (16)

- Exemplar design (5)
- Diversity of spaces and buildings (5)
- Exemplar master planning (5)
- No private housing within 100m of coastline and waterways (1)

Sense of Place (11)

- Define the geographical and social Heart as the intersection of Fremantle College and old TAFE site (5)
- Liveability (3)
- South metro TAFE as centre (3)

Consultation (5)

- Continuing consultation with the community (5)

2.1.2. Individual Priorities

The following reflect the individual priorities, listed in order of frequency with which they were noted by each individual and the weight given to each priority.

Getting Around (165)

- Paths and cycleways (including through a green link) (43)
- Connectivity (38)
- Green corridor through the site (e.g. Manning Park – Clontarf Hill – Lefroy Quarry – Booyeembara Park) (31)
- Public transport, including light rail (25)
- Nodes of specific community activity along multiple use paths (8)
- Vehicle and pedestrian routes through Lefroy Road Quarry (5)
- Better road connectivity for improved public transport routes (4)
- Cul-de-sacs and traffic calming (4)
- Roe 8, 9 and 10 (3)
- Keep wide roads (2)
- Provide space for two cars at most houses (2)

Public Open Space (161)

- More and more functional public open space (44)
- Interconnected, shared spaces (41)
- Keep all open space (20)
- Community garden, including as a resource hub (18)
- Trees and gardens (13)
- Maintain/upgrade current sporting and recreation facilities (9)
- Greater use of Bruce Lee Oval (6)
- Encourage street and verge landscaping (4)
- Productive/edible landscapes (3)
- People taking ownership of their surrounds (3)

Housing for Everyone (113)

- Diversity of housing types (46)
- Lower proportion / more dispersed Housing Authority tenancies (20)
- Affordable housing (13)
- Liveable housing (2)
- Ageing in place, including built form requirements (12)

- Aged care facility (1)
- Student housing (12)
- Houses for families (2)
- Set proportion of dwellings and facilities developed for refugees (3)
- Group housing / cooperatives (2)

Community Feel (105)

- Diversity of population/community (29)
- People-centric / people as the priority (23)
- Communication between residents (13)
- Reduce fragmentation and isolation (10)
- Keep the character of Beacy (6)
- Take into account psychological health (5)
- Retain sense of community (5)
- Development of “The Heart” (4)
- Create an inspirational place to live (4)
- Recognition of Indigenous connection to Beaconsfield (4)
- Retain suburban community (1)
- Generosity and love (1)

Shops, Offices and Other Uses (75)

- Multi-purpose facilities (19)
- School facilities for community use (e.g. pool, theatre, open space) (11)
- Retain educational facilities (10)
- More facilities for young people (5)
- Community facilities for all groups and ages (5)
- More activities for the elderly (5)
- Places to live, work, shop, learn etc. incorporated (4)
- Points of interest (e.g. markets) to attract people to Beaconsfield (3)
- Commercial and retail spaces (3)
- Early years hub (2)
- Encourage small/local businesses (2)
- Support services (e.g. medical, childcare, aged, mental health) (2)
- Library (2)
- TAFE site as a mixed-use hub and connection between North and South (1)
- Improve appearance of and access to shops (1)

Building Forms (63)

- Diversity of built form and architecture (7)
- Maintain established houses in Davis Park (5)
- Planning models that allow for diverse living spaces (5)
- Zoning to allow all densities (4)
- No high-rise / large blocks of flats (16)
- Higher density housing (7)
- Low density (10)
- Retain large block sizes when rezoning (9)

Sense of Place (53)

- Community hub / meeting places (e.g. on Lefroy Road / at Hilton Bowls Club) (34)
- Beauty of natural environment, built environment and streetscape (11)
- Amenity (3)
- Spaces for creativity beyond “dinky” pop-ups (3)
- Public art (2)

Sustainability (47)

- An opportunity to showcase sustainable communities, designs, houses (e.g. 8 star ratings) and open spaces (19)
- Sustainable housing and vegetation requirements (10)
- Renewable energy / energy production (7)
- Buildings that last (4)
- Re-use existing facilities where possible / incremental change (3)
- Sustainable housing on TAFE site (2)
- Incorporate nature into the plan (1)
- Use parks as natural drainage for rainwater (1)

Development/planning process (35)

- Develop a cohesive framework for development, think of the area as one [masterplan] (17)
- Develop Lefroy Road Quarry (8)
- City and government agencies to direct the development process (ensure quality development) (3)
- Short-term uses for Lefroy Quarry while awaiting development e.g. community garden (3)
- Design competitions for the developments (2)
- Planning models that control quality and avoid profit-motivated development (1)
- Maximise economic viability e.g. sell off City of Fremantle resources (1)

Safety (31)

- Safety, especially of pedestrian routes and open spaces (30)
- Passive surveillance (1)

Community Consultation (26)

- Continue the consultation process (13)
- Indigenous participation (5)
- Keep politics out of the discussion (3)
- Engage all Beaconsfield residents (2)

2.2. The Past

Please note that although feedback has been ordered by frequency, this may include multiple points of feedback from the same individual. In other words, the term “frequency” used is a measure of frequency of response, not frequency of respondent.

This section presents the feedback related to what Beaconsfield was like in days gone by.

This feedback was collected through three methods:

- Sticky-notes placed on feedback boards at the Open Days in response to the statement “*What Beaconsfield was like in Days Gone By*”.
- Anecdotal feedback from Open Day participants. This was recorded on anecdotal feedback sheets by project team members from the City of Fremantle, Housing Authority and Creating Communities.
- Workshop participants worked together in groups to respond to the question “*What do you remember about Beaconsfield from days gone by?*”

The table below shows the most commonly stated themes of response and the specific responses which relate to that theme. The number in brackets shows how many times a similar response was stated.

The most common specific memories of Beaconsfield are listed below (each response count represents the number of times that this specific response was noted by a group/individual at one of the engagement initiatives):

- Market gardens, dairy, “farmlets”, stables and chicken runs in backyards (9)
- Bigger backyards, up to 5 – 10 acre blocks (5)
- Loss of small/local/family businesses e.g. delis (5)
- Family place, kids playing in the street (4)
- Safer in the past (4)
- Tram up South Street terminating at Central Avenue (3)
- European village traditions (e.g. Princis at Romanos) (3)
- Full, top performing schools (3)
- A lot of sport played at Bruce Lee Oval, including football (3)

Theme	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Pastoral history (22)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Market gardens, dairy, “farmlets”, stables and chicken runs in backyards (9) Bigger backyards, up to 5 – 10 acre blocks (5) Open wells in backyards Fruit trees Almost a holiday destination / special place for Perthites More bush 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dairy farm (2) Horse stables still here in 1990s Snakes and goannas in the bush 	
Changes in land use (14)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loss of small/local/family businesses eg. delis (5) Live music at the Bowls Club “Starline Drive-in” outdoor cinema Old BMX park Well-supported by retail services Not significant new development 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No cafés and only one milk bar Starline Drive-in Hilton PCYC was great Petrol pump on Bruce Lee Oval (used to pick lock and “borrow” fuel)
An area for families, kids playing outside (13)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Family place, kids playing in the street (4) Playing in the quarry (2) Bike rides to Clontarf Hill 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kids playing together (2) Family area (2) I / my family grew up here (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outside play spaces Playing in the quarry site
Close-knit community (12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stronger sense of community (2) People stayed in the area longer Being a new arrival Now the third generation of Davis Park residents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A place for the community to hang out (2) The best place in the area (30 years ago) 30 years ago when we moved in it was a nice place to live When I moved in (2001) I had all older 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Good sense of community

Theme	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
		neighbours, all good neighbours <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kids were more respectful 	
Getting around (11)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tram up South Street terminating at Central Avenue (3) Less traffic (2) More connected to Freo and South Freo 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More pedestrian activity Close to school Close to South Street Bus connection only once per hour Less traffic
Changes in built form (10)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weatherboard homes with asbestos roofs (2) Concrete gardens / ugly verges Depleting sense of space Large back and front yards in Davis Park Outbuildings 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Asbestos fibre houses Older-style place 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Better solid houses Lower density
Safer/quieter in the past (10)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Safer in the past (4) Quieter 20 years ago (2) Less police Less social issues 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Safe and secure Quieter
Open space and sport (10)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A lot of sport played at Bruce Lee Oval, including football (3) Informal spaces for activities (2) Open tennis court Parks lost to sporting ovals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community cricket at Davis Park Playing footy at the oval Beautiful park 	
Cultural diversity (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> European village traditions (e.g. Princis at Romanos) (3) Non-English-speaking community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Romanos very authentic - point of difference celebrated Diverse 	
Education specialisation (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Full, top performing schools (3) Disability workshops worked well with the schools 		

Theme	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Negative perceptions and lack of safety (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hold-ups in the TAFE carpark, security brought in • Less inviting • Had perception as a “poor person’s” suburb 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public housing stigma 	
Army camp on Clontarf Hill (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Think there was an army camp [Special Operations camp and army searchlight stations on Clontarf Hill] • Kids using flying fox in Jean Street [previously used by the army] 		
Quarry (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quarry used as a tip • Ongoing problems related to the quarry 		
No change (2)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No real change • No difference (over 23 years) 	
Other comments (5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uncertainty around the Eastern bypass • Strong connection to the arts 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harley Scramble (motorbikes in the quarry) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More affordable • Older retired people

2.3. The Present

Please note that although feedback has been ordered by frequency, this may include multiple points of feedback from the same individual. In other words, the term “frequency” used is a measure of frequency of response, not frequency of respondent.

This section presents the feedback related to what Beaconsfield was is like today.

This feedback was collected through three methods:

- Sticky-notes placed on feedback boards at the Open Days in response to the statement “*What Beaconsfield is like today.*”
- Anecdotal feedback from Open Day participants. This was recorded on anecdotal feedback sheets by project team members from the City of Fremantle, Housing Authority and Creating Communities.
- Workshop participants worked together in groups to respond to the question “*What is Beaconsfield like today?*”

The table below shows the most commonly stated themes of response and the specific responses which relate to that theme. The number in brackets shows how many times a similar response was stated.

The most common specific comments related to what Beaconsfield is like today are listed below (each response count represents the number of times that this specific response was noted by a group/individual at one of the engagement initiatives).

- Good public transport, especially buses along South Street (9)
- Drug issues (8)
- Close to Fremantle (5)
- Crime, drug use and antisocial behaviour (4)
- Friendly, close-knit neighbourhoods (4)
- Close to shops, work, doctor (4)
- Vandalism (4)
- Littering / dumping of rubbish (4)
- Feeling of connection / everybody knows each other (4)

- Good community feel / friendly community (4)
- Good location, close to Freo and beach (3)
- Varied and diverse population (2)
- Freo seen as the most important area – change this view as Beaconsfield is as important as Freo (2)
- Shops (2)
- Disjointed, “forgotten” spaces (3)
- Currently a place in transition (2)
- Diverse (2)
- Transient local population / fewer long-termers (2)
- Ageing population (2)
- Disconnected road layout (2)
- Safety and social issues worse (2)
- Smaller blocks (2)
- A bit weary/tired (2)
- Eco-friendly / sustainability (2)
- Affordable housing (2)

Theme	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Good location/accessibility (27)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Busy South Street – a major traffic route into Freo • Great cycles lanes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good public transport, especially buses along South Street (9) • Close to Fremantle (5) • Close to shops, work, doctor (4) • Walking distance to school • Close to family 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good location, close to Freo and beach (3) • Good access to amenities • Good transport
Close-knit community (22)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Friendly, close-knit neighbourhoods (4) • A place to make home • Pride in where we live • Reasonably safe for families 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feeling of connection / everybody knows each other (4) • Good neighbours / friendliness (3) • Community with the young mums • Private residents friendlier to public housing tenants [than in the past] 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good community feel / friendly community (4) • 15 years of good tenants have made it better • Wonderful community at Salentina Ridge

Theme	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Diversity of population (18)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Currently a place in transition (2) • Diverse (2) • Transient local population / fewer long-termers (2) • Ageing population (2) • Mix of old and new (housing, people etc.) • Young families moving in – replacing post-war migrant families • Welcoming of migrants (lots of foreign languages spoken) • A mix of socioeconomic groups • European heritage 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Varied and diverse population (2) • Range of socioeconomic groups • Young demographic • Young families
Disconnected/fragmented community (12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disjointed, “forgotten” spaces (3) • Disconnected road layout (2) • Layout of Davis Park is a bit “strange” • Chaotic – not properly planned • The development area divides the suburb 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Beaconsfield disconnected / fragmented (2) • Loss of community (but still love it) • Segments of haves and have nots 	
Drug issues (11)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drug use (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drug issues (8) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drug issues worse
Antisocial behaviour (10)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vandalism (4) • Littering / dumping of rubbish (4) • Visitors loving trouble • Lots of cars hooning 	
Changes in built form (9)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Smaller blocks (2) • Higher density • A lot of money spent on making Davis Park liveable – don’t replace it! 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diversity of architecture, mix of styles • Family sized housing • Higher density • Better quality houses

Theme	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Granny flats 		
Crime and safety concerns (8)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety and social issues worse (2) • Criminal and anti-social behavior (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Car break-ins (2) • High crime • Safety 	
Poor look/feel of the area (8)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A bit weary/tired (2) • Lacking amenity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ugly TAFE • Neglected • It's a utilitarian area • Poor green space • Needs to be cleaned up 	
Sustainability and environment (7)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eco-friendly / sustainability (2) • Heritage listed trees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Love the trees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nice green spaces • Birdlife and wildlife • Trees
Poor reputation (5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not a great reputation • Davis Park area needs new energy/vibe • Doesn't have a "heart" apart from the shops • Less friendly • Houses and people retreating from the street 		
Beaconsfield as peripheral to Fremantle / forgotten (5)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Freo seen as the most important area – change this view as Beaconsfield is as important as Freo (2) • Don't go there often • Been forgotten • Missed out on proper planning and direction
Comparison to other suburbs (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some areas feel like South Fremantle • White Gum Valley has a stronger sense of community 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Like being in South Freo • A cheap Freo

Theme	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Community events (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Benefits of community organisations/family/sport/farmers markets 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More community events • Growers market
Child abuse and family violence (2)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Child abuse • Family violence 	
Local commercial areas (and lack of) (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Well supported by retail services 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pubs and shops improved • People travel to Freo two to three times per day to buy food 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shops (2) • More shops and cafés
Housing affordability (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Affordable housing (2) 		
Open space (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Loss of open space due to dominance of housing • Bruce Lee Oval is important 		
Other comments (8)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interest in the area • Politically progressive/left-wing • Returning to its roots and history 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mental health issues • Public housing too big (too many bedrooms) • Huge potential • Need underground power • Pretty 	

2.4. The Future / Objectives

Please note that although feedback has been ordered by frequency, this may include multiple points of feedback from the same individual. In other words, the term “frequency” used is a measure of frequency of response, not frequency of respondent.

This section presents the feedback related to what Beaconsfield could be like in the future.

This feedback was collected through three methods:

- Sticky-notes placed on feedback boards at the Open Days in response to the question “*What will make Beaconsfield an even better place in the future?*”
- Anecdotal feedback from Open Day participants. This was recorded on anecdotal feedback sheets by project team members from the City of Fremantle, Housing Authority and Creating Communities.
- Workshop participants worked together in groups on six different group activities related objectives for the THOB Vision and Masterplan project.

The six tables below lists the specific responses provided which relate to each of the six project objectives used in the community engagement initiatives.

2.4.1. Sense of Place and Community Feel

The draft objective was defined as: *Define and enhance Beaconsfield’s unique identity and sense of place in a way that supports and enhances existing community networks and is appealing and interesting to all population groups.*

The thought-starter questions for this objective were: *What do you think is the character and spirit of Beaconsfield? What community networks do we have and how might we support and enhance them?*

The most common specific comments related to Sense of Place and Community Feel are listed below (each response count represents the number of times that this specific response was noted by a group or individual in one of the engagement initiatives):

- Diverse, multicultural community (5)

- Shared community facilities (e.g. Farmers’ Market using the SFSHS oval is great) (4)
- Sporting clubs (4)
- Schools (3)
- Ensure intergenerational connections (3)
- More consultation with Indigenous people (3)
- Walkability and bike baths (3)
- Public art e.g. sculpture, public performance that reflects local history – employ local artists (3)
- Spaces that encourage incidental interactions (3)

Themes related to “Sense of Place and Community Feel”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Suggested changes to objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include “creating new/future community networks” as well as enhancing existing ones (4) • Overly complex and difficult to understand the intent clearly / use less “planning speak” (2) • Define “all population groups” more clearly – specific needs for e.g. childcare, aged care, appropriate housing. Not defining this may result in an identity crisis (2) • “Sense of place” and “community feel” are generic terms • Include “The Heart of” • Include “services,” supports and enhances • Sounds like utopia • Currently not well-connected so enhancement should focus on connecting people and places with flowing pathways • Define the existing network e.g. sporting clubs, market gardens • No changes • Change “appealing” to “inclusive” • Objective needs to recognise increased density and mixed/greater diversity – the future will change 		
Community facilities – access and sharing (23)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shared community facilities (e.g. Farmers’ Market using the SFSHS oval is great) (4) • Sporting clubs (4) • Schools (3) • Enhance various types of community gardens, orchards etc. – replicate old 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seniors community facility 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spaces that can be used for community events e.g. power, shelter and toilets (2) • Consider relocating the market

Themes related to “Sense of Place and Community Feel”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> market gardens (2) • Improve availability and accessibility of community groups and facilities (2) • Winterfold Primary School nature playground is open to the community – good use of the facility • Create a community hub • Church • Enhance sense of identity in sporting and community groups that are uniquely Beaconsfield 		
Engage with / acknowledge diverse demographics (16)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diverse, multicultural community (5) • Ensure intergenerational connections (3) • More consultation with Indigenous people (3) • Recognise European heritage including Italian, Portuguese, Yugoslavian (2) • Families 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mix of cultures • Maintain its diversity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keep Aboriginal heritage visible and current • Engage youth • Engage disabled people • Many families • Activities for young families’ needs • Long term residents
Support services (14)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Childcare centre (2) • Activ, disabled community • Alcohol and drug support services • Men’s shed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attract and support families (2) • Community service places to help people • Things for people to do (E.g. single blokes) • Drop-in centre • Single mums' groups 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Teach English to refugees • Social work and counselling • Look at City of Cockburn's community services (social engineering) as in Coolbellup
Open space and green space (11)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Connect treed areas (2) • Open space below the quarry escarpment • Open spaces and parks • Barbeques in parks 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retain / provide more trees (3) • Community garden (2)

Themes related to “Sense of Place and Community Feel”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Underground power to allow larger street trees 		
Getting around (8)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walkability and bike baths (3) • Radiating paths from a hub through open spaces • Cycling paths linking Beaconsfield to the beach • Suburbs are currently based on cars – need to move away from this to a transit-city model • Keep back lanes • Less connected than South Freo 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Promotion of the area (8)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public art e.g. sculpture, public performance that reflects local history – employ local artists (3) • Better promotion of heritage and development history (2) • Entry statement for the area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Build on the character of Freo • Map/plaque of heritage properties
Facilitate connection through design of spaces (7)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spaces that encourage incidental interactions (3) • Don’t clutter open space and open feel with buildings • Stop architectural styles that “wall off” people so that we can connect • Utilise empty blocks 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrated development to enhance the area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Quiet/village feel (7)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quiet village feel (2) • Humble and appealing • A traditional suburb • Safe environment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Know your neighbours 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Like to see more people mixing than fighting

Themes related to “Sense of Place and Community Feel”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Vibrancy of public realm (5)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More art and music (2) • More young people using spaces • Vibrancy • More colour
Commercial places as part of networks (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retain food and market focus of the area (2) • More local businesses e.g. cafés • Yoga centre is a landmark – develop community space around it 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retain TAFE and include residential college (2) • Retail and cafés on TAFE site • Community arts hub • Move Growers’ Market to former location
Address crime and social issues (4)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clean up drugs issue • Need police patrol • Take dumped cars away 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better police response times
Topography (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined by its topography / opportunity for valley aspect (2) 		
Other comments (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Don’t fracture the existing community • Affordable and diverse housing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spread public housing out. Not all clumped together • Good neighbours 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Currently zero character and spirit • Larger blocks for families

2.4.2. Housing for Everyone

The draft objective was defined as: *Instigate transformational housing renewal that results in an improved diversity of housing.*

The thought-starter question for this objective was: *How can we improve the diversity and affordability of housing in the area?*

The most common specific comments related to Housing for Everyone are listed below (each response count represents the number of times that this specific response was noted by a group or individual in one of the engagement initiatives):

- Opportunities and services for ageing in place (9)
- Shared community facilities (e.g. Farmers' Market using the SFSHS oval is great) (4) Include small housing and alternative housing models (4)
- Provide special purpose housing e.g. for Activ clients (4)
- 10 – 11% public housing (4)
- High density but not high-rise / employ height restrictions (4)
- Mixed density development (3)
- Sustainable designs/materials (3)
- Cooperative/group housing models e.g. Baugruppen/Pinakarri (3)
- More diversity/variety of housing (3)
- Design/form-based codes (3)

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Suggested changes to objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No changes (3) • Include consideration of retaining economically viable housing, rather than wholesale demolition • Include “affordable housing” • Is “diversity” the right word? Define this • Affordability is important but don’t want Beaconsfield to become the cheap suburb in Freo • Inclusive of elderly people, students, downsizers, families • Include maintaining the identity of larger (family sized) lots 		

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Ageing in place (14)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opportunities and services for ageing in place (9) • Improve safety for older people (fewer old people seen walking in this area) 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Innovative and affordable ageing options (4)
Lower proportion of public housing (14)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 – 11% public housing (4) • Low income housing integrated with broader community and affordable housing options (2) • Disperse public housing across neighbouring suburbs given reduction to 10% in Beaconsfield 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More of a mix of private and Housing Authority housing (4) • 10% social housing across the whole suburb 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower proportion of / reduce public housing (2)
Housing and services for residents with specific needs (12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide special purpose housing e.g. for Activ clients (4) • Design housing forms for different demographics and family structures (2) • Stock transfer suitable housing to specialist landlords to work in partnership with NGOs to cater to specific clients e.g. trauma sufferers • Happy to have Activ houses but sell them when Activ clients move out, don't leave vacant • Specialist on-site 24 hours per day for support services including mental health, drug issues, parenting 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to consider children and extended family (stolen generation) [sic] 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cater for refugees • Options for single parents • Housing Authority housing
Mixture of block sizes and densities (10)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include small housing and alternative housing models (4) • Mixed density development (3) • Look at other successful examples of rezoning / good mix of r-codes (2) 		

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preserve a set proportion of larger block sizes 		
Sustainability (9)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sustainable designs/materials (3) • Mandated sustainability (2) • Consider development like White Gum Valley – has sustainable focus (2) • Housing Authority to employ maximum sustainable environment start rating 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work with builders and planners on solar passive designs
Limitations on density (7)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High density but not high-rise / employ height restrictions (4) • Single storey development • Maximum of R40 • Too many houses in a small area (Davis Park) 		
Consultation (7)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consult Housing Authority tenants (3) • Consult residents early and provide a range of option • Continue consultation • Indigenous consultation • Engage residents where they gather e.g. markets, dog park 		
Cooperative housing / co-ownership (7)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cooperative/group housing models e.g. Baugruppen/Pinakarri (3) • Need to overcome difficulties of property co-ownership 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cooperative housing (3)
Diversity of housing form (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More diversity/variety of housing (3) • More diversity in styles of apartment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve housing diversity (but not the overly high prices of White Gum Valley) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diversity of housing
Intergenerational housing (5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intergenerational housing (2) • Modular/flexible housing (for families 		

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> to stay in as family structure changes) (2) Granny flats 		
Affordable housing (5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Subsidies for buyers, rent then buy schemes 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Affordability (4)
Attract a younger demographic (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need community assets to attract target demographics (TAFE closing will result in a loss of younger demographic) (2) Student housing e.g. for Notre Dame along transport lines Attract more young people – changes the whole atmosphere 		
Identify/prioritise specific areas for development (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify particular areas for redevelopment (not across the whole site) (2) A good masterplan and phased construction are crucial 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clean up Davis Park area 	
Building and design codes (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Design/form-based codes (3) Control site benching for lots 		
Streetscape (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Street interfaces and permeability that allow for connection with neighbours and public (2) Maintain wide, leafy streetscapes 		
Specific housing types to avoid (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No “tiny houses” (2) Avoid “McMansions” like those on the [quarry] ridge 		
Tenure and land sales types (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No house and land packages Majority should be green title lots 		

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Activation of the area and public realm (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shared facilities and open spaces • Activate the area 		
Improve accessibility to / use of public transport (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bonuses for public transport • Housing closer to South Street for public transport access 		
No cul-de-sacs (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No cul-de-sacs (2) 		
Other comments (8)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve insulation and remove asbestos in Housing Authority houses rather than replacing them • Mixed use areas • Avoid gentrification e.g. “Cottesloe Barbie” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety - greater clarity on whether HA or police are responsible for addressing behavior • Could fit more houses • Stop squatters living in High Road homes, and clean this area • Better use of space • Supportive of redevelopment plans 	

2.4.4. Shops, Offices and Other Uses

The draft objective was defined as: *Provide for compatible non-residential uses that make the place appealing and interesting to all population groups.*

The thought-starter question for this objective was: *Besides housing, what other uses do you think we should attract to make the place appealing and interesting to all population groups?*

The most common specific comments related to Shops, Offices and Other Uses are listed below (each response count represents the number of times that this specific response was noted by a group or individual in one of the engagement initiatives):

- Performance spaces / amphitheatre in the quarry / music in the park (4)
- Community learning centre / educational hub (link with student housing) (4)
- Childcare in residential areas / next to Fremantle College (4)
- Integrate Activ housing in Davis Park area and with Fremantle college (3)
- Work with Fremantle College Principal to integrate school and community facilities, including after-hours use of the school (3)

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Suggested changes to objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No changes (2) • Include reference to “community hubs” / “uses that create a community hub” (2) • Small businesses and colocation of living and working (“ethnic/authentic”) are a key characteristic of the area – reinforce and encourage this model / opportunities for small businesses (2) • Less planning speak • Change “compatible” to “integrated” or “appropriate” • Change “interesting” to “inspiring” • Include “walkable” • Need to support creative work spaces and people working from home • There is limited capacity for other uses without changing the character 		
Commercial uses (27)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cafés and restaurants / café strip (4) • Locate more shops close to South 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More shops • Already enough cafés etc. close by 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cafés, including alfresco areas (5) • Small corner shops

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Street as it gets busier (2) • Activity hub / cafés opposite Fremantle College • Bars • Commercial kitchens • Day spa • Generate affordable commercial rentals • More retail diversity • Romanos [sic] • A lot of shops have closed down • Improve existing facilities, including Lefroy Road shops 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Café and small shops • Woolworths would be good • Love the IGA - no major supermarkets • More local business shops 	
Outdoor spaces (15)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performance spaces / amphitheatre in the quarry / music in the park (4) • Community gardens/farm/orchard (include mulberry trees to reflect past) (2) • Grow the Farmers’ Market (2) • Repeat Davis Park Family Fun Day • Outdoor Wi-Fi • Native gardens • Water play and adventure play areas • Barbeque area • Skate park / BMX park 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Useable green space
Ease of getting around as an attractor (11)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting and passive surveillance of public areas (2) • Bike path linking areas – well-lit so safe at night • The area is walkable but people walk 		

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> without purpose – no destinations • Conservation corridor linking Clontarf Hill to Quarry • Public transport • Appropriate amount of parking • Link Bruce Lee Oval through TAFE site to Clontarf Hill • Better connection from Lefroy Road to South Street • Connect Governor Street to Fifth Avenue • Link from Hampton Road to Mather Road 		
Community hubs and clubs (11)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community learning centre / educational hub (link with student housing) (4) • Amalgamate underused clubs / less doubling up of facilities – create hubs and shared facilities (2) • Upgrade Hilton Bowling Club as a community centre • Support local clubs • Place to share community facilities as the new school buildings are being built 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community centre/hub • Digital village – large European-style houses around Annie and York Streets where IT workers can work from home 	
More efficient use of Activ site (7)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrate Activ housing in Davis Park area and with Fremantle college (3) • Use current Activ site more efficiently (only offices now) (2) • Activ as a space for training (2) 		

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Integration of school and public uses (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work with Fremantle College Principal to integrate school and community facilities, including after-hours use of the school (3) • Youth entertainment (e.g. PCYC) close to Fremantle College (2) • Consolidate school and quarry open space for community use 		
Childcare (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Childcare in residential areas / next to Fremantle College (4) • Keep FELC open (2) 		
Spaces for businesses / meetings (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small business hub (2) • Locate more offices close to South Street as it gets busier (2) • Informal meeting spaces • Shared office spaces 		
Spaces for the arts (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creative hub (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hub for live music • Arts centre, surrounded by commercial uses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creative college of arts and music • Live music venues
Other uses for TAFE site (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TAFE area needs to be activated and links provided through the site (including commercial uses and green spaces) (2) • Quinlan’s Catering College not a priority as it will not remain long-term 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redevelop TAFE site for housing - high rise • TAFE an eyesore. Poor integration with the street • Interesting uses for TAFE in its current built form
Opposition to fire station on TAFE site (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No to Fire Station (2) • Query as to why a regional fire station would be proposed • Locate a Fire Station near a major road away from houses 		

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Cater to all ages (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aged care / ageing in place close to facilities (2) • Cater to all ages 		
Use of education for development (for and against) (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use education land for urban development, but allow for open space • Do not use education land for urban development 		
Other comments (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good designs • More mixed-use development (e.g. apartments above shops) 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce fumes from panel beater and spray painter • Pop-up spaces

2.4.5. Streets and Public Open Spaces

The draft objective was defined as: *Provide safe, pleasant and inclusive streets and public open spaces.*

The thought-starter question for this objective was: *Do we have enough public spaces, for the right uses and in the right places?*

The most common specific comments related to Streets and Public Open Spaces are listed below (each response count represents the number of times that this specific response was noted by a group or individual in one of the engagement initiatives):

- More trees / native trees / retain trees (6)
- Cater to all ages / elderly people and young people as well as young families – look at OHS requirements, places for conversation (6)
- Solar/wind lighting / more lighting (6)
- Multi-purpose public sporting space around the school (5)
- Upgrade existing sporting facilities and make them public (4)
- Intelligent design to encourage passive surveillance (4)
- Space for arts and more public art – use local/youth artists (4)
- More play areas, including nature play (4)
- Keep/more trees, especially natives (4)
- More public open space (3)
- Better connection through public spaces (3)
- Better cycle infrastructure and paths, including footpaths on both sides of streets (3)
- No cul-de-sacs / more through roads (3)

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Suggested changes to objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No changes (3) • Add “connective/connected and active” (2) • “Provide safe, pleasant, accessible, connected and inclusive streets and public open spaces” • Include “places with purpose” 		

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reintroduce natural environment / native bush • Change “pleasant” to “active and functional” • Don’t like the word “inclusive” – change to “pedestrian-oriented” • Add “beautiful, productive and edible landscapes” • Include reference to free-flowing roads / no cul-de-sacs • Coordinate location of public spaces • Include “sufficient” before “safe” • Add “for a growing population” at the end 		
More parks and playgrounds (31)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nature play (with adjacent café) (2) • Turn quarry into a park (with amphitheatre and water features) • Pocket parks • Appropriately placed playgrounds • Attractive park spaces 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More public open space (3) • Need more amenity in high-density area • Keep parks • Kids' space • A fenced dog park • Parks like Booyeembarra Park 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More play areas, including nature play (4) • More/better parks, including creative play opportunities (3) • Dog park (2) • Use quarry as a park (with water) (2) • Skate park (2) • Outdoor gym / exercise park (2) • Water parks • Parks for seniors
Trees and vegetation (24)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More trees / native trees / retain trees (6) • Community gardens (2) • Attract bird-life, use endemic species (2) • More native garden verges (2) • Community members to maintain the environment e.g. encourage responsibility over verges (2) • Edible landscapes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community garden • More street trees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More green spaces (2) • Garden park with natives and fruit trees
Shared facilities and sporting spaces 20)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multi-purpose public sporting space around the school (5) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indoor recreation facility 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Basketball courts / recreation centre / pool needed (4) • There are a lot of young families and

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upgrade existing sporting facilities and make them public (4) • Community organisations using open space need to consolidate i.e. collocate clubrooms 		<p>dog walkers so high demand for recreational spaces (2)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community centre / multi-use facility • Move Davis Park to quarry site and share with College oval • Increase the recreational value of the area. Fremantle has little recreational value compared to, for example, City of Melville's pool, rec centre and Tompkins Park. City of Freo only has Steven's Reserve
Getting around (17)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better connection through public spaces (3) • Better cycle infrastructure and paths, including footpaths on both sides of streets (3) • No cul-de-sacs / more through roads (3) • Connect South Street, Lefroy Road and Annie/Mather Street • Connect through Clontarf Hill to Booyeembara Park • Better East-West linkages • Green boulevards • Parking for bikes • Every dwelling needs to be able to access parks on foot • No steps 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Places that encourage walking
Consider different ways that people use	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cater to all ages / elderly people and young people as well as young families – look at OHS requirements, places for 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spaces for activity rather than just parks e.g. an amphitheatre 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Places for adults to think (2) • Places to meet/congregate

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
public open space (17)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • conversation (6) • Think about how spaces are used by different people at different times of day (2) • Green spaces that are not only for sports / no more playing fields (2) • Meeting places • Community furniture and barbeques • Negative space 		
Lighting (7)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solar/wind lighting / more lighting (6) • Use a lighting engineer 		
Bruce Lee Oval (7)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enlarge Bruce Lee Oval • Look at Bruce Lee Oval, TAFE and Davis Park Precinct as one area • Markets on Bruce Lee Oval • Consider parking requirements for Farmers’ Market – many people use Bruce Lee Oval • Bruce Lee Oval not well-used by Davis Park Precinct residents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved access to Bruce Lee Reserve 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retain space for social soccer at Bruce Lee Oval
Streetscape design (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visibility creates connectivity, curves create sense of expectation – use both (2) • Sense of mystery i.e. “What’s around the corner?” / encourage sensory exploration • Houses closer to the streets • Remove fences around public open space • Avoid high walls and buildings that 		

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> create wind tunnels 		
Safety (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intelligent design to encourage passive surveillance (4) Places with people are safer Davis Park not well used by other residents due to safety concerns 		
Major changes in land use (5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Open “The Heart” by closing off Lefroy Road between Porter Street and Badham Close Move public open space to Annie Street and integrate with existing pool Land swap / buy out surrounding houses to allow One School Policy 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Turn quarry into a lake (2)
Public art (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Space for arts and more public art – use local/youth artists (4) Art along paths/trails (2) 		
Do not relocate POS (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Do not swap development and open space / leave Bruce Lee Oval alone (2) We have need to retain the existing open space – it is an asset Bruce Lee needs to stay – residents from White Gum Valley use it for sport and dog walking 		
Buildings facing onto parks (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Re-design Davis Park Precinct around the park / design all housing around parks (2) Community facilities around Bruce Lee Oval 		
Underground power (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Underground power (2) 		

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Other comments (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • Clean public toilets • Healthy lifestyles 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quarry as a display garden with terraces 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Town square • Growers’ Green market at quarry • Open spaces improve mental health

2.4.6. Getting Around

The draft objective was defined as: *Improve the sense of connectivity and wayfinding across the masterplan area including improved access to public transport.*

The thought-starter questions for this objective were: *How can we improve connections through the area? What would make it easier for people to find their way to, from and within the area?*

The most common specific comments related to Getting Around are listed below (each response count represents the number of times that this specific response was noted by a group or individual in one of the engagement initiatives):

- Better/more cycle paths, including linking activity nodes (6)
- More footpaths (5)
- Light rail up South Street (3)
- Extend Cat Bus into suburbs (2)
- Some areas need access to more direct public transport, fewer stops and round-about trips (2)
- Avoid cul-de-sacs (2)
- Follow natural landscape/topography of quarry (2)
- Retain sight lines (2)
- Green link (e.g. from Clontarf Hill to Manning Park, Booyeembara Park and Hollis Park) (2)
- Pocket/micro parks (e.g. similar to Salentina Ridge and Butterworth place to connect cul-de-sacs if necessary) (2)
- Community garden/farm e.g. in the quarry (2)
- Lighting for night use (2)
- Fremantle College to be a dual education and community facility, including night and weekend courses (2)
- Re-do the old BMX track (2)

Themes related to “Getting Around”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Suggested changes to objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access to public transport is already pretty good • Linkages between suburbs – corridors • Include reference to “walking and cycling” • After “masterplan area” add “and through to surrounding areas and the beach” • Integrated connectivity with public services • Be more specific 		
New/improved public transport services (21)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Light rail up South Street (3) • Extend Cat Bus into suburbs (2) • Some areas need access to more direct public transport, fewer stops and round-about trips (2) • Improve frequency and size of buses • Bus to the beach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Light rail down South Street to Fremantle and South Fremantle (3) • Supportive of improved public transport amenity • Being so close to Fremantle it should be better • Loop South Street to Lefroy Road - light rail or bus 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrate transport with POS and shops (2) • Bus to Growers’ Green Market (2) • Light rail (2)
Cycle routes and facilities (20)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better/more cycle paths, including linking activity nodes (6) • Different coloured concrete for bike lanes and maintenance • Bike storage facilities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More bike paths and pedestrian paths (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bike lanes to school, beach etc., including through Lefroy Road Quarry (8) • Lefroy Rd median strips have increased risk for cyclists
Walkability (10)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More footpaths (5) • Retain back lanes to facilitate walking • Footpaths on both sides of the road as a priority over verges • Path network west across the ride, South through Clontarf hill 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Footpaths (2)
More connected road network (9)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avoid cul-de-sacs (2) • Safe road network • Grids 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hampton Rd traffic blocks access to South Fremantle • Better road infrastructure

Themes related to “Getting Around”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quiet roads linking together / not having to use main roads • Wider roads (noting most houses have two cars) 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North-South through roads
Traffic calming/courtesy (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic calming e.g. curved roads, Woonerf principle, changes in surface type/colour (4) • Cars to obey traffic hierarchy (pedestrians first) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safe road crossing from White Gum Valley across South Street 	
Lighting and passive surveillance (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting for night use (2) • Ensure passive surveillance 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safe access (e.g. lighting) (3)
Respect existing lines and landscape (5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Follow natural landscape/topography of quarry (2) • Retain sight lines (2) • Support “desire lines” 		
Green links, trees and shade (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Green link (e.g. from Clontarf Hill to Manning Park, Booyeembara Park and Hollis Park) (2) • Use Amsterdam as a model for corridors • More trees and shade 		
Public open space (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pocket/micro parks (e.g. similar to Salentina Ridge and Butterworth place to connect cul-de-sacs if necessary) (2) • Meeting places with Wi-Fi • Amphitheatre and public music spaces 		
Relocate open space (for and against) (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do not reconfigure/swap development and open space sites (2) • Move Bruce Lee Oval to school and 		

Themes related to “Getting Around”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> reinstating bushland in Bruce Lee Oval – native animals in the quarry will need a place 		
Major land use changes (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing in place of TAFE Close Lefroy Road to “increase The Heart” Infill around Lefroy Road and spruce it up 		
Use of the quarry (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community garden/farm e.g. in the quarry (2) Lakes in the quarry 		
Build Roe 8/9/10 (3)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Build Roe 8 / Roe 8, 9 and 10 (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Roe 8, 9 and 10
Utility of pedestrian routes, including for prams and wheelchairs (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ramps and appropriate landscaping to allow for prams and wheelchairs Wider footpaths 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spaces accessible to people with disabilities 	
Activate school facilities (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fremantle College to be a dual education and community facility, including night and weekend courses (2) 		
Places/routes for exercise (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> “Loops” for exercise and activity between locations Community pool to replace school pool 		
BMX track (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Re-do the old BMX track (2) 		
Other comments (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Most areas have good public transport service Challenge the Liveable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Connect Fremantle to southern suburbs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Overpass Allow for parking

Themes related to "Getting Around"	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
	Neighbourhoods policy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrated storm-water management 		

2.4.7. Built Form; and Sustainability

These draft objectives were defined as: *Encourage high quality, interesting buildings that add to the identity and character of the area.* Sustainability objective?

The thought-starter questions for this objective were: *What kind of buildings will add to the identity and character of the area? How can we show leadership or innovation in sustainability and design (once we progress to the building design phases)?*

The most common specific comments related to Built Form; and Sustainability are listed below (each response count represents the number of times that this specific response was noted by a group or individual at one of the engagement initiatives):

- Renewable energy (3)
- Encourage sustainable forms with regard to orientation and passive heating/cooling (3)
- Dot higher density around, not clumped together / allow space for amenity (3)
- Demonstration projects / sustainable display village in specific locations (3)

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
Suggested changes to objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No changes (4) • Include reference to “sustainability” (2) • Include “and landscaping” after buildings • Affordable? • Refer to “universal design standards” • Refer to “integrated design” • Add “inspirational and appropriate” • Consider how built form in human scale improves liveability, including arrangement of green spaces • Add “amenity” to “identity and character” • Access to public transport is already pretty good • Linkages between suburbs – corridors • Include reference to “walking and cycling” • After “masterplan area” add “and through to surrounding areas and the beach” 		

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrated connectivity with public services • Be more specific 		
Vegetation and trees (16)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retain existing landforms and vegetation during subdivision process • Quality landscaping • More trees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retain / add trees (5) • Improve verges like in Hilton • Gardens • Native planting in verges - reduce grass 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retain/ensure tree canopy (2) • Green walls on buildings / living buildings (2) • Leafy streets with more green space
Renewable energy / responsible resource use (12)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Renewable energy (3) • Modern, sustainable and recycled materials (2) • Focus on reducing water use 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residents to have a compost bin 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solar farm (2) • Solar lights for parks • Recycling facility • Use of grey water
Built form with sustainable energy requirements (10)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encourage sustainable forms with regard to orientation and passive heating/cooling (3) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requirement for developers to commit to sustainability standards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eco-friendly/sustainable designs, including water use and passive solar (4) • 7 star sustainability ratings • Orientation of homes to allow passive energy use
Density with limits (9)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dot higher density around, not clumped together / allow space for amenity (3) • Limit height to three storeys • Increase density slightly, without “high density housing” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mix of heights • Not too high. Two storey townhouses • Low-rise housing, in keeping with current • Not too much high-rise - diverse options 	
Diversity of built form (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Architecture controls / policy requirement to have varied built form (2) • Diversity of built forms (2) • Diversity of block sizes (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mix of built forms - not all the same 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diversity of structure (3)
Mixed of residential and commercial uses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mix of houses and offices including shop-top living (2) 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
(6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diverse temporary uses of space e.g. pop-ups, art spaces, gardens • Smaller shopping precincts within walking distance e.g. TAFE site • Expand shopping precincts on Fifth Avenue and South Street • Opportunities to work from home 		
Sustainability displays / case studies (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstration projects / sustainable display village in specific locations (3) • Self-sufficient areas 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New housing typologies - potential for forms of housing that don't exist yet • Organic food trials
Integration with street and surrounding space (5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Front porches/verandahs (2) • Integrate built form with public open space (2) • Good street presence 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Quality/durability of design/planning (5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality and durability – this is an issue with a lot of new homes (2) • Good design • Good planning 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Houses need updating 	
Limit house size (5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Legislation to limit house size (2) • No need for McMansions 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small units and apartments • Single bed homes
Housing for all age groups (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allow space for extended family and non-family in some properties • Aged housing • Student housing on TAFE site • Children able to stay in the area as adults 		
Look at successful local and international	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Look at LandCorp’s White Gum Valley and Knutsford community housing (2) 		

Themes related to “Housing for Everyone”	Specific Responses from Community Visioning Workshop	Specific Responses from Davis Park Open Day	Specific Responses from Growers Green Open Day
examples (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Similar to Aubin Grove and Honeywood • Look at European models 		
Innovative housing typologies (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intentional communities e.g. Pinakarri/Freofirst • Innovations like the “Gen Y” house • Improve “tiny house” affordability 		
Policy/legislative controls on sustainability (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use the One Planet Living framework to identify targets (2) • Control housing footprint to green space ratio (in favour of green space) 		
Do not physically isolate communities (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No ghettos • Don’t create enclaves • No cul-de-sacs 		
Built form that support the needs of the community (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The purpose should be to create community and avoid isolation – use this to inform the design • Understand the specific needs of the area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No stairs 	
Squatters’ houses (2)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close squatters' houses on High Road (2) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Other comments (9)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include townhouses • Rebates and density bonuses • Shared courtyards • Performance venue at the quarry site • Colourful homes would be nice • Community gardens 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paint the kerbs white for visibility • Hate this word [sustainability] • No more "one planet" scam 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mezzanines • Communal gardens • Financial sustainability for ratepayers

2.5. Unique characteristics of Beaconsfield and its Community

Community Visioning Workshop participants worked together in groups to respond to the question “*What do you think are the unique characteristics of Beaconsfield and its community?*”

The table below shows the most commonly stated themes of response and the specific responses which relate to that theme. The number in brackets shows how many times a similar response was stated.

The most common specific comments related to the unique characteristics of Beaconsfield and its community are listed below (each response count represents the number of times that this specific response was noted on the activity sheets of different groups):

- Multicultural, including European heritage (e.g. Italian and Portuguese) (7)
- Unique, hilly, undulating topography (7)
- Diversity of population (6)
- Parks and green spaces throughout (6)
- Sporting clubs and facilities well-used, including Bruce Lee Oval (5)
- Family-oriented area (5)
- Growers Green Farmers’ Market (4)
- Corner stores and European delis – shops reflect the diversity of people (4)
- Close to Freo (gets the Fremantle Doctor) (4)

Theme	Specific Responses
Demographics (19)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multicultural, including European heritage (e.g. Italian and Portuguese) (7) • Diversity of population (6) • Family-oriented area (5) • Lower socioeconomic advantage
Uses other than housing (19)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Growers Green Farmers’ Market (4) • Corner stores and European delis – shops reflect the diversity of people (4) • Diversity of land uses (education, commercial, residential, sporting, markets, industry) (3)

Theme	Specific Responses
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Focus on education activities (schools, TAFE) (3) • Sport-focused community (2) • People shop locally (2) • 30 years of community-based childcare
Geography and location (15)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unique, hilly, undulating topography (7) • Close to Freo (gets the Fremantle Doctor) (4) • Proximity to beaches (3) • Long-shaped suburb
Open space and vegetation (13)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parks and green spaces throughout (6) • Sporting clubs and facilities well-used, including Bruce Lee Oval (5) • Trees and leafy streetscapes, including fruit trees (2)
Getting around (10)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Well serviced by public transport (bus) (3) • Lots of walkers and cyclists (2) • Lots of park users, including dog walkers (2) • Walkable paths, tracks and trails (2) • South Street and Lefroy Road transit connections
Built form (8)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mix of architectural styles (2) • Historic houses/properties with character (2) • Local limestone and capstone used as a building material • Old infrastructure • Brick and tile 60s and 70s architecture • Some Housing Authority improvements to built form
Positive comments about community (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Friendly and supportive community (2) • Resilient community • Safe • Community group engagement • People stay in the area
Fragmentation (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fragmented/disparate both geographically and in terms of communities (2) • Stratified in terms of housing and income • Pockets associated with crime / antisocial behaviour

Theme	Specific Responses
Block sizes (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Larger blocks than Freo (2)• Mix of block sizes• Houses sometimes swallow up green space
Other comments 4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Affordable living near Freo• A bit like South Freo but a bit different• Seems unnecessary to demolish a viable area• Kids riding dirt bikes

2.6. Anecdotal feedback from Open Days

Members of the project team recorded anecdotal feedback during discussions with Davis Park and Growers Green Farmers' Market Open Day attendees.

This Section lists general positive comments, negative comments and issues related to the situations of Davis Park Precinct residents that are not relevant to the six project objectives (this feedback is incorporated into Section 2.4).

2.6.1. Anecdotal feedback regarding whole THOB project

Positives

The table below lists positive comments about the THOB project recorded by project team members during discussions with Open Day attendees. Comments are listed in order of most commonly stated themes of response and the specific responses which relate to that theme. The number in brackets shows how many times a similar response was stated.

Theme	Specific Responses
General positive comments (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• A good opportunity / like the idea of improving the area (3)• Generally positive (2)• Exciting• Good that there is a plan for the area
Other comments (9)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Positive that Davis Park will be redeveloped with 10% social housing (5)• Redevelopment of quarry overdue (2)• Work in Freo, love living here• Cut crime across the area

Negatives

The table below lists negative comments about the THOB project recorded by project team members during discussions with Open Day attendees.

Specific Responses (no recurring themes)
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Need to retain TAFE, or replace TAFE options for people• Existing communities lost, otherwise no negatives• Davis Park Precinct housing is a deterrent for using the Bruce Lee Oval• Parking is at a premium• Motorbikes in the quarry• Police doing nothing in the area

Other comments

The table below lists other comments about the THOB project recorded by project team members during discussions with Open Day attendees.

Specific Responses (no recurring themes)
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Grew up in social housing• Concerned about TAFE closing - essential for local economy• Could TAFE be located at the quarry site to retain training in the area?• Not exposed to drug issues in Beaconsfield• Rangers to be given authority to confiscate motorbikes

2.6.2. Anecdotal feedback regarding Davis Park precinct

Members of the project team recorded anecdotal feedback during discussions with Davis Park Open Day attendees. Feedback that related specifically to the Davis Park precinct was recorded on a separate sheet to feedback related to the broader THOB project (see Section 2.1.4 above).

Project team members noted whether the individual was a Davis Park precinct Housing Authority tenant; a Davis Precinct private landowner; a resident from elsewhere in Beaconsfield; a resident from elsewhere in the City of Fremantle; an external stakeholder; or a friend or family member. All but one of the individuals who made comments specific to the Davis Park precinct were Housing Authority tenants from within the area. A single comment from a resident from elsewhere in Beaconsfield is recorded in subsection (b).

A - Feedback from Davis Park precinct Housing Authority tenants

Issues related to my situation / my property

The table below lists issues related to the properties or situations of Davis Park precinct Housing Authority tenants as recorded by project team members during conversations with the tenants. Comments are listed in order of most commonly stated themes of response and the specific responses which relate to that theme. The number in brackets shows how many times a similar response was stated.

The most common issues related to the properties or situations of Davis Park precinct Housing Authority tenants are listed below (each response count represents the number of times that this specific response was noted on the feedback board):

- Want myself / my kids to stay in local area (4)
- Single person but need two bedrooms for visiting family (4)
- Drug addiction a problem in the area (3)
- Happy/ready for a change (3)
- Want to know options around moving (3)
- Not anxious to move due to age (3)

Theme	Specific Responses
Don't want to move / want to stay in the area (9)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Want myself / my kids to stay in local area (4) • Don't want to leave (2) • Beaconsfield is my home (2) • Can I come back? I love Beaconsfield • Lived here 40 years - not going anywhere. Not moving - nor are old neighbours
Lack of maintenance (8)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Needs maintenance (2) • Runoff - eaves collapsed • Needs retaining wall • Housing Authority do not maintain the verges • 18 months waiting for flywire • Never painted the interior (only supplied the paint) • Don't know whether to report maintenance anymore
Crime and safety (8)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Theft and break-ins (2) • Vandalism and damage to property (2) • Family violence • Massive increase in crime - few problem families causing issues • Police are always being called • Not safe to walk around at night
Drug issues in the area (8)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Addiction (3) • Needles left in public spaces (2) • Meth dealing in broad daylight. The drug issues are serious - not just marijuana, but meth and alcoholism • Generational drug issues • Drug busts
Happy to move (7)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Happy/ready for a change (3) • Haven't been here long but don't like it • Happy to move to Kardinya • Transferred from Mosman Park but Mosman Park is better • Keen to move to a good area
Uncertainty / desire for more information about	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Want to know options around moving (3) • Uncertainty about where to move to (2)

Theme	Specific Responses
relocation (7)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Want more clarification on timeframe • Would like to move with neighbours
Concerned about size of current / potential future house (6)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single person but need two bedrooms for visiting family (4) • In a two-bedroom and need a three-bedroom • Don't want to be in a small place if I leave
Age as a barrier to relocation (4)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not anxious to move due to age (3) • I am 79, and don't want to move in my late 80s. If I am going to have to move, I would prefer sooner rather than later
Need for specific housing type (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need a single level place due to knee injuries (currently in a townhouse) • Don't want to be relocated to a block of flats • Would prefer flat rather than townhouse
Preference for/against having a garden (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Want a garden (2) • Don't want a garden
Prejudiced and antisocial behaviour (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "Racism worse than anywhere I've seen" • Antisocial
Sense of community (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Looked after by good neighbours for over six years • I have been here for one year. I like the sense of community here
Other comments / questions (10)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No recycling (everything is put in one bin) • Problems are caused by the area being an enclave • I like the park (Davis Park) - grandchildren use it • Likes house (an original) • On a large block • Not worried about community, I just keep to myself • Is CHL same as Housing Authority? • South Street provides good public transport connections • Housing Authority do not have the expertise to manage people with mental health issues - people with mental health issues need housing but those with serious mental health issues need services far beyond housing • Improve the poor connotation of "Beacy Bronx" – "we use the childcare centre but the reputation of the area creates

Theme	Specific Responses
	<p>some apprehension"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are no Housing Authority properties in the area which have the option of purchase - would take this opportunity if it were possible/affordable

Comments on the Davis Park Scheme Amendment

The table below lists comments of the Davis Park precinct Scheme Amendment as recorded by project team members during conversations with Davis Park precinct Housing Authority tenants. Comments are listed in order of most commonly stated themes of response and the specific responses which relate to that theme. The number in brackets shows how many times a similar response was stated.

Theme	Specific Responses
Housing diversity (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One in ten social housing is a good idea (2) • Want a diversity of housing choice
No need to redevelop the whole area to address issues (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wouldn't have to change more than 20 tenancies to clean the area up • A full redevelopment is overkill
Understand the desire to redevelop, but unhappy with having to leave (2)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can understand Housing Authority wanting to pull the area down, but don't kick people out who have done the right thing • I understand why it needs to happen but I don't want to leave
Other comments (5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor communication from Housing Authority • Community centre for older people (was franchised last development) • Keep the trees - love the birds here • It would be good to lower the density of public housing, it causes issues • Want to know what will happen with properties privately owned within strata on Caesar Street

Opportunities, ideas and suggestions

The table below lists opportunities, ideas and suggestions for the Davis Park precinct Scheme Amendment as recorded by project team members during conversations with Davis Park precinct Housing Authority tenants.

Specific Responses (no recurring themes)
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Lots of places need redeveloping• “Can I buy my property?”• No drugs = no issues• Lots of old people in the street• Community centre for street kids• Not such high densities of low socioeconomic housing

Other comments

The table below lists other comments recorded by project team members during conversations with Davis Park precinct Housing Authority tenants.

Specific Responses (no recurring themes)
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Close to public transport, doctor, shops, lived here 20 years• Asked questions about Key Start Loan• “Sharon is fantastic and helpful”• Have lived here 40 years. In the same house since 1979• Conway Court is the only drug-free street in Beaconsfield• Worried about who will go in there• Why can people stay if constantly in trouble with police?• Thought about going to Aboriginal land rights• Partner with RSPCA• Land transfer to Department of Child Protection and Family Services - this way land estates can be utilised to address abuse and other problems by experts in dealing with these issues

- OK with what's happening, wants to be kept informed

B - Feedback from other Beaconsfield residents

One comment specifically related to the Davis Park precinct Scheme Amendment was recorded in a conversation with a Beaconsfield resident living outside the Davis Park precinct.

Specific Responses (no recurring themes)

- “Brilliant idea 10/10 to proceed”