

Abstract

The germination and early growth of Australian rainforest cabinet timber species were examined. The species were chosen from shade sensitive early secondary to shade tolerant climax successional groups. The germination of 35 Queensland rainforest timber species and effectiveness of pre-germination treatments were studied. Five distinct patterns of germination are outlined and linked to fruiting season and geographic location. Twenty Queensland cabinet timber species seedlings were subsequently grown in three light regimes and two nutrient treatments. Growth and photosynthetic responses to light and nutrient treatments were examined. The quantity and quality of solar radiation were altered by the use of painted polyfilm in order to simulate natural rainforest light regimes. Growth responses were variable across treatments and between species. A factorial analysis of variance was conducted to evaluate the effects of light (high-80% full sunlight and R:FR 1.01, low-8% full sunlight and R:FR 0.63), nitrogen (control, added nitrogen) and successional status (early secondary, late secondary, climax). Under high light conditions the cabinet timber species significantly increased their total number of leaves, branching, rate of photosynthesis, transpiration and stomatal conductance. Under low light conditions a significant increase in internode length, single leaf area, leaf blade length, slenderness (height/diameter ratio) and relative crown depth was observed. The light treatments did not have a significant effect on stem elongation rate, relative stem elongation rate or total leaf area. The added nitrogen treatment produced a significant increase in stem elongation rate, relative stem elongation rate, internode length, single leaf area, total leaf area, leaf blade length and relative crown depth. Additional nitrogen did

not have a significant effect on slenderness (height/diameter ratio), branching, rate of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance. The combination of high light conditions and added nitrogen treatment significantly increased diameter increment rate, relative diameter increment rate and water use efficiency in the species being trialed. Low light conditions combined with added nitrogen significantly increased specific leaf area.

Early secondary species exhibited the greatest stem elongation rate, relative stem elongation rate, diameter increment rate and relative diameter increment rate compared to late secondary and climax species. Early secondary species had the lowest total number of leaves at the end of the experiment. Climax species had significantly lower stem elongation rate, relative stem elongation rate, diameter increment rate, relative diameter increment rate, leaf blade length and height/diameter ratio than secondary species. Under low light conditions, early secondary species exhibited the highest mean specific leaf area whilst climax species had the lowest specific leaf area.

The potential application of these findings to rainforest cabinet timber farm forestry is discussed.

**Aspects of Seed Germination and Early Growth in
Rainforest Cabinet Timber Species**

N. J. C. SMITH

A thesis submitted for the degree of Master in Applied Science

to

**Central Queensland University, Rockhampton
School of Biological and Environmental Sciences
Faculty of Arts Health and Sciences**

January 2002

Table of Contents

Chapter 1	Introduction	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Rainforest cabinet timber farm forestry in Australia.....	3
1.3	Literature review of rainforest cabinet timber species selected for trial .	7
1.3.1	Overview	7
1.3.2	Seed collection, storage, treatment and germination.....	8
1.3.3	Early growth	10
Chapter 2	Comparative germination responses of 35 tropical and subtropical rainforest species	14
2.1	Introduction	14
2.2	Materials and methods.....	16
2.2.1	Seed source.....	16
2.2.2	Storage.....	17
2.2.3	Pre-germination treatment.....	17
2.2.4	Germination experiment.....	17
2.2.5	Statistical analysis	19
2.3	Results	20
2.3.1	Species comparisons without pre-germination treatment (T1)	20
2.3.2	Species and within species comparisons of pre-germination treatments	23
2.3.3	Patterns of germination	25
2.4	Discussion	25
2.5	Conclusions	31
Chapter 3	Alteration of solar radiation quality and quantity in a shadehouse to simulate natural shade for plants.	33
3.1	Introduction	33
3.1.1	Solar radiation in rainforest ecosystems.....	33
3.1.2	Ecophysiological responses to changes in PAR intensity and spectral quality	34
3.1.3	The altering of solar radiation intensity and spectral quality in plant research	35
3.1.4	Aims of the experiment.....	36
3.2	Materials and Methods	37
3.2.1	Construction	37
3.2.2	Shade compartments.....	37
Light environment	38
Paint type	38
3.2.3	Light experiment	39
3.3	Results	40
3.3.1	Variation in transmitted light within compartments.....	40
3.3.2	Relationship between daily total PPFD and the daily total R (660 nm)	41
3.3.3	Variation in light between compartments and replicates	42
3.3.4	Simulated natural environments.....	43

3.4	Discussion	44
3.5	Conclusions	45
Chapter 4	Physiological responses of 20 cabinet timber species to solar radiation and spectral quality	46
4.1	Introduction	46
4.1.1	Overview	46
4.1.2	Review of the ecophysiology of rainforest species	47
4.2	Materials and methods.....	51
4.3.1	Comparative analysis of growth characteristics (height, stem diameter, internode length and branching).....	57
4.3.2	Comparative analysis of leaf and canopy characteristics.....	65
4.3.3	Comparative analysis of photosynthetic characteristics (net rate of photosynthesis, stomatal conductance and transpiration)	69
4.4	Discussion	71
4.5	Conclusions	75
Chapter 5	Potential application of these findings to farm forestry	78
5.1	Introduction	78
5.1.1	Farm forestry in Australia	78
5.1.2	Rainforest cabinet timber plantings.....	79
5.1.3	Mixed species plantings	80
5.2	Application of germination and early growth data to farm forestry.....	81
5.3	Areas for future research	85
5.4	From planning to sustainable harvest: a mixed species rainforest cabinet timber silviculture design	85
	Bibliography.....	87
	Appendix A	102
	Appendix B.....	123
	Appendix C.....	139
	Appendix D	166
	Appendix E.....	184
	Appendix F.....	203
	Appendix G	204

List of Tables and Figures

Table 2.1	Description of pre-germination treatments applied to Australian rainforest timber species.....	18
Table 2.2	Germination characteristics of 35 rainforest tree species from eastern Queensland	21
Table 2.3	Germination characteristics for five major groups of Australian rainforest timber species.....	26
Figure 2.1	Rapid-High germination pattern (Table 2.3).....	28
Figure 2.2	Rapid-Moderate germination pattern (Table 2.3).	29
Figure 2.3	Delayed-High germination pattern (Table 2.3).....	29
Figure 2.4	Delayed-Moderate germination pattern (Table 2.3).	30
Figure 2.5	Very Delayed-Low germination pattern (Table 2.3).	31
Table 3.1	R:FR measurements for natural light environments and beneath painted and dyed plastic sheeting.....	38
Fig. 3.1	Variation during the course of the day in PPFD ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) sampled every 10 seconds, averaged every five minutes and R:FR, sampled every 10 seconds, averaged every five minutes for the clear compartment (replicate 3) on 24.11.99.....	40
Fig. 3.2	Variation during the course of the day in PPFD ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) sampled every 10 seconds, averaged every five minutes and R:FR, sampled every 10 seconds, averaged every five minutes for the blue compartment (replicate 4) on 13.11.99.....	40
Fig. 3.3	Variation during the course of the day in PPFD ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) sampled every 10 seconds, averaged every five minutes and R:FR, sampled every 10 seconds, averaged every five minutes for the green compartment (replicate 4) on 02.10.99.....	41
Fig 3.4	The relationship between daily total PPFD ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$) and daily total R waveband ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$).....	42
Table 3.2	Daily total PPFD ($\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$) means calculated with the model $Y = 1.12 + 1.00 X$ ($Y = \text{Log daily total PPFD } (\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1})$, $X = \text{Log daily total R waveband } (\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1})$) for all compartments (4 replicates). Standard errors in parentheses. n = number of days recorded.	42
Table 3.3	R:FR ratio means (as daily averages of logged results) for shadehouse compartments (4 replicates). Standard errors in parentheses. n = number of days recorded.	43
Figure 4.1	Mean height increment for Australian cabinet timber species grown under high and low light treatments. (Error bars represent + 1 s.e., Species key: Aexce = <i>Alphitonia excelsa</i> ; Arobu = <i>Agathis robusta</i> ; Binvo = <i>Blepharocarya involucrigera</i> ; Caust = <i>Castanospermum australe</i> ; Dfras = <i>Dysoxylum fraserianum</i> ; DmuelCQ = <i>Dysoxylum Muelleri</i> – Central Queensland provenance; DmuelNQ = <i>Dysoxylum Muelleri</i> – North Queensland provenance; Egran = <i>Elaeocarpus grandis</i> ; Fbenn = <i>Flindersia bennetiana</i> ; Fbray = <i>Flindersia brayleyana</i> ; Fscho = <i>Flindersia schottiana</i> ; Fxant = <i>Flindersia xanthoxyla</i> ; Gleic = <i>Gmelina leichardtii</i> ; Grobu = <i>Grevillea robusta</i> ; Mazed = <i>Melia azedarach</i> var. <i>australasica</i> ; Norie = <i>Nauclea</i>	

	<i>orientalis</i> ; Pelat = <i>Podocarpus elatus</i> ; Ptimo = <i>Pleiogygium timorensis</i> ; Rhod = <i>Rhodosphaera rhodanthema</i> ; Tcili = <i>Toona ciliata</i> .)	58
Figure 4.2	Mean diameter increments for Australian cabinet timber species grown under high and low light conditions. (Species key see Fig. 4.1, Error bars represent + 1 s.e.)	59
Figure 4.3.	Relative stem elongation rates for 20 Australian cabinet timber species seedlings grown in contrasting light environments. (Species key see Fig. 4.1, error bars represent + 1 s.e.).....	60
Figure 4.4	Relative diameter increment rates for 20 Australian cabinet timber species seedlings grown in contrasting light environments. (Species key see Fig. 4.1, error bars represent + 1 s.e.).....	61
Table 4.1	Results from a light, nitrogen and succession 2x2x3 factorial ANOVA, mean values for main effects, n.s. main effect not significant $p > 0.05$, SED = Standard error of difference, at 33 df, between any values within the treatment.	63
Table 4.2	Results from significant interactions between light and nitrogen treatments following factorial analysis (Table 4.1). SED = standard error of the difference, at 33 df, between any values within the light by nitrogen matrix.	64
Table 4.3	Results of significant interactions between light and succession indicated by factorial analysis of variance (Table 4.1). SED = Standard error of the difference, at 33 df, between any values within the light by succession matrix.	66
Table 4.4	Results for the nitrogen by succession interaction indicated by factorial analysis of variance (Table 4.1). SED = Standard error of the difference, at 33 df, between any values within the nitrogen by succession matrix.	67
Fig. 4.5	Specific leaf area ($\text{cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$) v PPFD ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{dy}^{-1}$) for three successional groups. (Linear regression equations: Climax, $\text{SLA} = 272 - 0.033 \text{PPFD}$; Late secondary, $\text{SLA} = 217 - 0.023 \text{PPFD}$; Early secondary, $\text{SLA} = 205 - 0.020 \text{PPFD}$)	68
Table 4.5	Results for the light by nitrogen by succession interaction indicated by factorial analysis of variance (Table 4.1). SED = Standard error of the difference, at 33 df, between any values within the nitrogen by succession matrix.	69
Fig. 4.6	Photosynthesis ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) v PPFD ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) for three successional groups. (Quadratic regression equations ($Y = \text{photosynthesis}$, $X = \text{PPFD}$) for fitted curves ($p < 0.001$) are: early secondary – $Y = 0.62 + 0.0071X + 0.000003X^2$; late secondary – $Y = 0.05 + 0.0099X + 0.000005X^2$; climax – $Y = 0.55 + 0.0065X + 0.000003X^2$).	70

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank David Midmore and Ashwath Nanjappa for their supervision, support and encouragement of my research. I would like to thank all at Plant Sciences especially Rob Lowry for his technical support and willingness to lend a hand. Lastly, I would like to thank my wife Nicola and my children for their support and encouragement over these years.

Declaration

I hereby declare that the main text in this thesis is an original work and no part of this thesis has been previously submitted for the award of any other degree.

I also declare that to the best of my knowledge any assistance I received in the experimentation presented in this thesis and all sources of information used in this thesis have been acknowledged.



N.J.C. Smith