Thank you for your submission. Your submission will be considered by the Government when finalising the Terms of Reference of the Royal Commission.
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I suggest that the Commission investigate the following propositions:

Proposition A
That the Commission has come about because of a complex ongoing fraud, with

1- false pretences including:
(a) false statements used in promotion of uranium mining
(b) false statements used in promotion of nuclear power
(c) false statements issued with the authority of Adelaide University
(d) false statements issued with the authority of the Government of South Australia

2- financial aspects including:
(a) theft from future generations
(b) spending of money by Government of South Australia
(c) collection of “crowd funding” by Ben Heard
(d) manipulation of share prices in BHP Billiton and other uranium miners
(e) benefits to BHP Billiton and other uranium miners
(f) benefits to Adelaide University
(g) benefits to individuals including Barry Brook, Corey Bradshaw and Ben Heard.
(h) liability for harms caused by radioactive poisons
(i) liability for harms caused by false assurances of safety

3- associated malfeasance including:
(a) manufacture of radioactive poisons
(b) transport of radioactive poisons
(c) administration of radioactive poisons to children
(d) administration of radioactive poisons to pregnant women
(e) administration of radioactive poisons to future generations
(f) pollution of environment with radioactive poisons
(g) false assurances of “nuclear safety”  
h) false assurances of safety from exposure to radioactive poisons.

Proposition B  
That the Commission has a duty to find that  

1- uranium mining is a crime against humanity.  

2- the South Australia government needs to wind down and stop uranium mining activities, for both moral and financial reasons.  

3- corrective actions (including criminal proceedings) need be instituted.  

4- radioactive poisons are a real threat to life, human and dolphins especially - our young, our unborn, are particularly vulnerable to radioactive poisons.  

5- the Royal Commission on Nuclear needs to be wound up and replaced by a Royal Commission Into Cetacean Deaths, to include Port River Dolphins and Sperm Whales and all the recent cetacean deaths in South Australian waters.

=======================================  
=======================================  
Supporting documents:  
Notice of Demand to Cease Fraud 12/12/12 (see below, Attachment I)  
Open Letter to Chris Daniels 23/12/14 (see below, Attachment II)  
Notes re Core Lies by Nuclear Advocacy Fraudsters 13/2/15 (see below, Attachment III)  

=======================================  
=======================================  
Attachment I  

Notice of Demand to Cease Fraud 12/12/12  

(text follows)  

Notice of Demand to Cease Fraud 12/12/12 - worded as follows -  

Notice of Demand to Cease Fraud  
to: The University of Adelaide  
for the Urgent Attention of the Vice-Chancellor  

At 8am on 6th December 2012, a false statement was published on the “The Environment Institute” FaceBook page operated by The University of Adelaide.  

This is a notice of demand for urgent corrective action.  

The false statement "nuclear power, a zero-carbon generation source" was made in a public post which contained a hyperlink to a fund-raising web site soliciting funds for one Ben Heard to support Heard's active advocacy of nuclear power in partnership with Barry Brook.  

The University of Adelaide has ignored prompt and persistent demands for immediate retraction or qualification of the false statement.  

The false statement remains, as at 8am on 12th December 2012, on the “The Environment Institute” FaceBook
So it has now been six days that this false statement has been published by the University.

And it has now been six days that I have been requesting immediate retraction or qualification of this false statement which is, in conjunction with soliciting of funds, a fraud.

This is unacceptable behaviour by The University of Adelaide.

I demand that the persons responsible be identified and dismissed.

I demand that the false statement be retracted and repudiated by The University of Adelaide.

The longer the University waits before acting, the worse the offence by the University.

The University will need to go further and will need to look into the circumstances where this fraud has been allowed to occur.

I am energised by my experience and knowledge, as a scientist with wide experience in diverse fields.

I am motivated by a sense of deep personal loss, with my twin grandsons dying unborn three weeks after I first challenged Brook over his ludicrous statements during March 2011 - as I have said before, if, instead of false re-assurances, Brook and co had issued appropriate warnings (“Do not eat fresh green vegetables, do not drink fresh milk, especially if you are pregnant” … ) then maybe my twin grandsons would be alive and well today.

I am also motivated by a sense of duty, to all our children.

As a graduate of The University of Adelaide, I am diminished by this fraud.

As a human being and a parent and a grandparent, I am threatened by this fraud.

Notice issued December 12th 2012
reference Notice121212
by Brett Burnard Stokes phone 0438 814 302

(end Attachment I)

=======================================

Attachment II
Open Letter to Chris Daniels 23/12/14 :

an open letter to Chris Daniels from Brett Stokes 23rd December 2014

Chris - I love your book about magpies. I have heard you speak well, live and on the radio. But now I find your name attached to a recent article by Barry Brook, the infamous nuclear advocacy fraudster who disgraced Adelaide University and Australia with his ludicrous predictions during March 2011.

Please consider making a clear public renunciation of Brook and his pro nuclear mendacity.

You bring discredit and shame upon yourself, if you maintain support for the lies that Brook promotes: the lie that nuclear is low carbon, the lie that nuclear is affordable, the lie that radioactive poisons are safe, the lie that there is an actual real solution to the problem of nuclear waste, the lie that nuclear is "24/7", the lie that renewables are somehow unable to meet our needs, the lie that disasters like Chernobyl and Fukushima are acceptable, the lie that nuclear proliferation is okay.

I wish you well and I ask you to read the following which I wrote recently:

The Long Shadow.
In just three months, it will be four years since the start of the 311 tragedy, four years since the sad deaths of my twin grandsons who were in utero in Sweden when the fallout hit and expecting mothers were given no warning.

The long shadow of those events is again brightened by the birth today of my grand daughter, a sister for my two year old grandson.

The long shadow of the tragic loss of my grandsons - my world has been transformed as I deal with the corruption and complexities of radioactive poisons politics.

Today, while my daughter in law was busy giving birth in Dubai, I visited the tranquil Himeji Gardens in Adelaide - I love the Japanese culture of nature and peace.

The long shadow of the explosive meltdowns at Fukushima in March 2011, clearly the source of the radioactive poisons that killed my grandsons, driving me to confront and challenge the corrupt.

The long shadow of the atomic bomb mushroom clouds and the fallout that filled the world when I was a child, still poisoning and threatening all of us and our children.

In these years of Fukushima fallout and increasing resistance to planet raping child murdering business as usual, hope comes from the many initiatives that clarify the call to action.

DeNuclearise or we are doomed.

Stop poisoning the precious air and water of our planet, the life support system for us and our children.

The long shadow of extinction of the human species - see the shadow, do not look away, please.

======================================================
to Professor Chris B. Daniels, Director, Barbara Hardy Institute, University of South Australia, Australia.
chris.daniels@unisa.edu.au
(end Attachment II)

======================================================

Attachment III

Notes re Core Lies by Nuclear Advocacy Fraudsters 13/2/15

Work In Progress ...
1 the lie that nuclear is low carbon,
2 the lie that nuclear is affordable,
3 the lie that radioactive poisons are safe,
4 the lie that there is an actual real solution to the problem of nuclear waste,
5 the lie that nuclear is "24/7",
6 the lie that renewables are somehow unable to meet our needs,
7 the lie that disasters like Chernobyl and Fukushima are acceptable,
8 the lie that nuclear proliferation is okay.

1 the lie that nuclear is low carbon,

1A- The carbon footprint of severe failure is huge - and the risks are very real. There is a history of at least 5 severe failures in approximately 500 reactors. We have the ongoing Fukushima tragedy, the Chernobyl disaster, the Monju fiasco, and many more such.
1B- The carbon footprint of construction is huge - and the time to build is many years. There is a history of cost and time blow outs.

1C- The carbon footprint of normal operation is huge - the fuel needs be mined and transported and enriched and fabricated and carefully handled at all times.

1D- The carbon footprint of de-construction (de-commissioning) is huge - more highly radioactive waste is produced which requires isolation and protection for many generations.

The only approach so far is to create sacrifice zones.

=====

2 the lie that nuclear is affordable:

2A- The dollar cost of severe failure is huge - and the risks are very real.
No insurance is available, operators have limited liability.
There is a history of at least 5 severe failures in approximately 500 reactors.
The law suit with sailors of USS Ronald Reagan versus TEPCO may lead to other such actions including against enablers and suppliers.

2B- The dollar cost of construction is huge - and the time to build is many years. There is a history of cost and time blow outs.

2C- The social and dollar cost of normal operation is huge - highly radioactive waste is produced which requires isolation and protection for many generations, plus thermal pollution, plus water use, plus emission of radioactive poison gases which have been shown to cause childhood leukemia clusters, plus the site requires total protection from terrorist attack or bushfire or earthquake or flooding, plus the site becomes a significant military target in the event of hostilities in the region.
Local health and property values are affected.

2D- The cost of de-construction (de-commissioning) is huge - and is a money pit for future generations - more highly radioactive waste is produced which requires isolation and protection for many generations.
The only approach so far is to create sacrifice zones.

=====

3 the lie that radioactive poisons are safe,

3A- Biologist/chemist John Gofman made the Plutonium for the first bombs, then went on to describe the "hot particle problem" which is when we breathe or eat little bits of radioactive poison.

3B- Alice M Stewart was responsible for restraint on use of Xrays, by proving that ionising rays harm the unborn and the young.

3C- Nobel prize winning chemist Linus Pauling led the campaign against atmospheric testing of nuclear weapons, emphasising the damage being done to children.

3D- These three scientists gave clear warnings as to the health impact of radioactive poisons.

3E- To ignore these warnings is a crime against future generations.

=====

4 the lie that there is an actual real solution to the problem of nuclear waste,

4A- failures/fiascos

=====

5 the lie that nuclear is "24/7",

5A- Scheduled outages average two months, for refuelling and maintenance, every two years or more often.

5B- Other outages occur in extreme weather (cold, hot, floods), just when the power is most needed.
5C- Other outages occur due to unforeseen circumstances such as jellyfish blocking water flows.

6 the lie that renewables are somehow unable to meet our needs,

6A- Wind is the new base load, said RenewEconomy last year.

7 the lie that disasters like Chernobyl and Fukushima are acceptable,

8 the lie that nuclear proliferation is okay.

(end Attachment III)